TWIST AND SH^I_{OU}T

Massimo BIANCHI

CERN Theory Division Università di Roma "Tor Vergata" - INFN Talk at CERN

March 26, 2008

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND SH^I_{OU}T CERN - Roma TV - INFN

Foreword

The combined effect of twists and shifts has not been systematically explored in the context of unoriented strings

[Vafa, Witten; Angelantonj, Antoniadis, D'Appollonio, Dudas, Sagnotti; ... Camara, Maillard, Pradisi, Dudas; ... Blumenhagen, Schmidt-Sommerfeld]

- Various approaches: Left-Right symmetric asymmetric orbifolds [Narain, Sarmadi, Vafa; ... M.B., Morales, Pradisi], free fermions [Antoniadis, Bachas, Kounnas; Kawai, Lewellen, Tye; ... MB, Sagnotti], COVariant lattices [Lerche, Lüst, Schellekens, Warner; ...], ...
- Another twist another shift: (N)MHV amplitudes in N = 4 SYM, BDS and BES conjectures and finiteness of N = 8 supergravity [see e.g. Dixon 0803.2475]
- Spinor shifts, recursion relations, generating functions, helicity sums, cut constructability, ...

Plan

Part I, with Anastasopoulos, Morales, Pradisi (w.i.p.)

- T-folds with few T's: combining CDMP and DJK models
- Other means of moduli stabilization *e.g.* (non-)anomalous U(1)'s, non-perturbative effects, exotic modular invariants,
- Magic supergravities [MB, Ferrara; Kounnas, Dolivet, Julia]
- Part II, with Elvang and Freedman (w.i.p.)
 - \blacktriangleright MHV amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM and $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity
 - Operator map, (super)symmetries, generating functions
 - Next-to-MHV amplitudes at tree level
 - Beyond the trees: helicity sums

Outlook for two

Part I: unoriented twists and shifts

- T-folds with few T's: combining CDMP and DJK models
- Other means of moduli stabilization e.g. (non-)anomalous U(1)'s, non-perturbative effects, exotic modular invariants, ...
- Magic supergravities [MB, Ferrara; Kounnas, Dolivet, Julia]

T-folds with few or no T's

CDMP model [Camara, Dudas, Maillard, Pradisi]: standard geometric freely acting orbifold $T^6/Z_2 \times Z_2$, Type I / Heterotic dual pairs All twisted moduli are massive. Only untwisted moduli T_I, U_I , I = 1, 2, 3 (after unoriented projection) Combine with gaugino condensate(s) in open string sector and/or 3-form fluxes to stabilize dilaton and other moduli. DJK 'minimal' model [Dolivet, Julia, Kounnas]: non-magic hyper-free model, fermionic construction $G = \psi^{\mu} \partial X_{\mu} + \chi^{i} y^{i} w^{i}$. Fermionic sets: $F, S, \bar{S}, \bar{b}_1, b_1 = \{\psi^{\mu}, \chi^{1,2}; \psi^{3,4,5,6}, \psi^1 \psi^1 | \bar{\psi}^5 \bar{\psi}^5 \}.$ $\bar{b}_2 = \{ \gamma^6 w^6 | \bar{\psi}^{\mu}, \bar{\chi}^{3,4}; \bar{\gamma}^{1,2}, \bar{w}^{5,6} \bar{v}^3 \bar{w}^3 \}.$ $\bar{b}_3 = \{ y^6 w^6 | \bar{\psi}^{\mu}, \bar{\chi}^{5,6}; \bar{w}^{1,2,3,4} \bar{v}^6 \bar{w}^6 \}$ Only dilaton vector-multiplet survives. $\mathcal{N}_R = 0$, $(-)^{F_R} \sigma$ asymmetric freely acting orbifold of $T_{SO(12)}^6$, $y^i w^i \approx$ shifts.

Combining CDMP with DJK

Replace \bar{b}_3 with b_2 , get a L-R symmetric asymmetric orbifold. Geometric (freely acting) projections associated to $b_1\bar{b}_1$ and $b_2\bar{b}_2$. Non geometric (freely acting) projections associated to $b_1, b_2, ... b_1\bar{b}_2$.

All untwisted moduli except dilaton hypermultiplet are projected out ($N_L = N_R = 1, N_{tot} = 2$).

Massless multiplets only from b_1b_2 and $\overline{b}_1\overline{b}_2$ twisted sectors: 2 hypers and 2 vectors.

Unoriented projection produces 1+2+2 chiral multiplets, or 1+2 chiral and 2 vector multiplets.

Combine with (non) anomalous U(1)'s and / or other (non)perturbative effects (gaugino condensation, ADS-like superpotentials, ...)

More twist, more shift

Start from Type I / Heterotic on T^4/Z_2 . No neutral twisted moduli, only untwisted ones. Compactify on T^2 and project by a freely acting Z_2 . Project some of the untwisted moduli, no extra twisted moduli. Another dual pair with $\mathcal{N} = 1$, laboratory for (non)perturbative effects, (non)anomalous U(1)'s, ... In general, consider abstract SCFT with one-loop characters $\mathcal{X}_0 \approx V_2 - S_2 - C_2$ (identity), $\mathcal{X}_i \approx O_2 - S_2$ (massless chiral), $\mathcal{X}_i^c \approx O_2 - C_2$ (massless anti-chiral), \mathcal{X}_I (massive $h_I > 1/2$). Look for 'exotic' modular invariants of the form

$$|\mathcal{X}_0|^2 + \sum_i [\mathcal{X}_i \bar{\mathcal{X}}_{l(i)} + \dots] + \dots$$

where I(i) labels massive characters with $h_{I(i)} = 1/2 + n_I$ (if present). All moduli except the dilaton (multiplet) are 'stabilized'. Cannot do any better for perturbative strings in Minkowski space.

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND SH

Magic $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravities

Related to magic square of Freudenthal, Rozenfeld and Tits of the division algebras R, C, H, O [Gunaydin, Sierra, Townsend] Only octonionic model is not a truncation of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG: 27 vector-plets $\mathcal{M}_V = E_{7(-25)}/E_6 \times U(1)$ AND 28 hypers $\mathcal{M}_H = E_{8(-24)}/E_7 \times SU(2)$ Type I description¹: start from D = 6 on T^4/Z_2 with $n_T = 1 + 8$ with 16 D9 OR 16 D5 (twist and shift!) and reduce to D = 4 on T^2 [MB, Ferrara] Doubly magic $n_H = n_V$, no quantum corrections to geometry (two-derivative effective action)

Potential corrections to higher derivative F-terms (for FHSV: Type II - Heterotic - Type I - Type II' tetrality), black hole entropy ... Other magic hyper-free supergravities [Dolivet, Julia, Kounnas]

¹Closely related to Type I FHSV-like model without open strings

Part II: another twist, another shift

- ▶ MHV amplitudes in N = 4 SYM and N = 8 supergravity
- Operator map, (super)symmetry, generating functions
- Next-to-MHV amplitudes at tree level
- Beyond the trees: helicity sums

MHV amplitudes in (supersymmetric) gauge theories Helicity basis $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A^{\pm}$

$$\langle A^+A^+...A^+\rangle = 0$$
 , $\langle A^-A^+...A^+\rangle = 0$

to all orders in susy theories, at tree level if non-susy Parke-Taylor formula (tree level)

$$\langle A^{+}(1)...A^{-}(i)...A^{-}(j)...A^{+}(n) \rangle = \frac{\langle i,j \rangle^{4}}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \langle k,k+1 \rangle}$$

where

 $\langle i,j \rangle = u^{\alpha}(p_i)u_{\alpha}(p_j) = -\langle j,i \rangle$, $[i,j] = \bar{u}_{\dot{\alpha}}(p_i)\bar{u}^{\dot{\beta}}(p_j) = -[j,i]$ and $p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = u_{\alpha}(p)\bar{u}_{\dot{\alpha}}(p)$ so that $p^2 = 0 = p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{u}^{\dot{\alpha}}(p) = p^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}u_{\alpha}(p)$ Basic properties: holomorphy (no [..]'s), no multi-particle poles! MHV 'vertices' [Chacazo, Svrcek, Witten]

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND $SH_{OU}^{I}T$

MHV amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Up to color-ordering (non-cyclic permutations) 15 kinds of (susy related) MHV amplitudes $_{[Georgiou,\ Glover,\ Khoze]}$

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \langle A^-A^-A^+...A^+ \rangle &, & \langle \lambda^-\lambda^+A^-A^+...A^+ \rangle &, & ... \\ \\ \langle \phi \phi \phi \phi A^+...A^+ \rangle &, & ... \langle \lambda_1^+...\lambda_8^+A^+...A^+ \rangle &. \end{array}$

where 15 counts partitions of 8 = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i$ with $\nu_i \leq 4$ Generating function [Nair]

$$\mathcal{F}_{MHV,n}^{tree,\mathcal{N}=4}(\eta_{A}^{i}) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{MHV,n}^{tree,gauge}(i^{-},j^{-})}{\langle i,j\rangle^{4}} \delta^{8}\left(\sum_{i} u^{\alpha}(p_{i})\eta_{A}^{i}\right)$$

prefactor from 2-D fermion contractions $(J^a = \psi t^a \psi)$ on $S^2_{\vec{p}/E}$ (?) $\delta^8 \left(\sum_i u^{\alpha}(p_i) \eta^i_A \right) = \int d^8 \theta e^{\theta^A_{\alpha} \sum_i u^{\alpha}(p_i) \eta^i_A}$, 1/2-BPS (?)

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND $SH_{OU}^{I}T$

Further developments

- ▶ Perturbative N = 4 SYM \approx topological strings on super-twistor space $CP^{3|4}$ [Witten]
- Recursion relations from 2-spinor shift:

 $|1\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle + z|2\rangle, 2\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle, |1] \rightarrow |1], |2] \rightarrow |2] - z|1]$

 $\mathcal{A}_n^{tree}(z)$ rational function of z with simple poles only If $\mathcal{A}_n^{tree}(z) \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$, use Cauchy's theorem: residues \approx splits into MHV [Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Witten; ...]

- MHV vertices from 'canonical' (super)field re-definition and (non)MHV (loop) amplitudes [Chacazo, Svrcek, Witten; Mansfield; Gorsky, Rosly; Bedford, Brandhuber, Spence, Travaglini;]
- ► Gravity from (gauge)² [Kawai, Lewellen, Tye; Berends, Giele, Kuijf; Elvang, Freedman] unexpected cancellations and finiteness of N = 8 [Bern, Dixon,

Roiban, Bjerrum-Bohr, Dunbar, Kosower, Howe, Stelle] 'No-triangle' hypothesis

Gravity = $(gauge)^2$?

 $[\mathcal{N} = 4 \ SYM]_L \otimes [\mathcal{N} = 4 \ SYM]_R \rightarrow [\mathcal{N} = 8 \ SG]$ 16 distinct particle states in each $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM factor and 256 states in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG $SU(4)_L \otimes SU(4)_R$ R-symmetry promoted to SU(8) of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Supersymmetry Ward identities $a(p) \leftrightarrow A(p) \otimes \tilde{A}(p)$

$$[Q^a,a] \leftrightarrow [Q^a,A \otimes \tilde{A}] \equiv [Q^a,A] \otimes \tilde{A} \quad [Q^r,a] \leftrightarrow [Q^r,A \otimes \tilde{A}] \equiv A \otimes [Q^r,\tilde{A}]$$

Generating functions $\mathcal{G}_{MHV}^{\mathcal{N}=8} \approx \mathcal{F}_{MHV}^{\mathcal{N}=4,L} \mathcal{F}_{MHV}^{\mathcal{N}=4,R}$ Complicated $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG trees from simpler tree amplitudes of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ (generalize quadratic KLT relations)

Operator map

b_+	=	$B_+ ilde{B}_+$	ь-	=	$B^- \tilde{B}^-$
f^a_+	=	$F_+^a ilde B_+$	f_a	=	$F_a^- \tilde{B}^-$
f_+^r	=	$B_+ { ilde F}^r_+$	f_r^-	=	$B^- \tilde{F}_r^-$
b^{ab}_+	=	$B^{ab}_+ ilde B_+$	b_{ab}^{-}	=	$B^{-}_{ab} \tilde{B}^{-}$
b_+^{ar}	=	$F_+^a ilde F_+^r$	b_ar	=	$-F_a^- \tilde{F}_r^-$
b_+^{rs}	=	$B_+ ilde{B}_+^{ m rs}$	b_{rs}^-	=	$B^- \tilde{B}^{rs}$
f_+^{abc}	=	$\alpha_4 \epsilon^{abcd} F_d^- { ilde B}_+$	f_{abc}^{-}	=	$-lpha_4 \epsilon_{abcd} F^d_+ { ilde B}^-$
f_+^{abr}	=	$B^{ab}_+ ilde{F}^r_+$	f_{abr}^{-}	=	$B^{ab} { ilde {F}}^r$
$f_+^{\it ars}$	=	$F_+^a ilde B_+^{rs}$	f_ars	=	$F_a^- \tilde{B}_{rs}^-$
f_+^{rst}	=	$\tilde{lpha}_4 \epsilon^{\textit{rstu}} B_+ \tilde{F}_u^-$	f_{rst}^{-}	=	$-\tilde{lpha}_4 \epsilon_{\textit{rstu}} B^- \tilde{F}^u_+$

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND SH^{/F}OUT

Example / test of the map

Two scalar MHV amplitude : three distinct decompositions of the SU(8) indices

First all SU(8) indices in one SU(4), $b_{abcd} \leftrightarrow \epsilon_{abcd} B^- \otimes \tilde{B}_+$, $\langle b^- b^-_{abcd} b^{efgh}_+ b_+ \dots b_+ \rangle \rightarrow$

$$\alpha_4^2 \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{efgh} \left\langle B^- B^+ B^- B_+ \dots B_+ \right\rangle \left\langle \tilde{B}^- \tilde{B}^- \tilde{B}_+ \tilde{B}_+ \dots \tilde{B}_+ \right\rangle$$

$$=4!\,\delta_{abcd}^{efgh}\,\frac{\langle 13\rangle^4}{\langle 12\rangle^4}\Big\langle B^-\,B^-\,B_+\,B_+...B_+\Big\rangle\Big\langle \tilde{B}^-\,\tilde{B}^-\,\tilde{B}_+\,\tilde{B}_+...\tilde{B}_+\Big\rangle$$

Next split SU(8) indices, $\langle b^- b_{abcr} b^{efgs} b_+ \dots b_+
angle
ightarrow$

$$\begin{split} (-1) & \alpha_4^2 \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{efgh} \Big\langle B^- F_+^d F_h^- B_+ \dots B_+ \Big\rangle \Big\langle \tilde{B}^- \tilde{F}_r^- \tilde{F}_+^s \tilde{B}_+ \dots \tilde{B}_+ \Big\rangle \\ = (-)^2 \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{efgh} \delta_d^h \frac{\langle 12 \rangle}{\langle 13 \rangle} \Big\langle B^- B_+ B^- B_+ \dots B_+ \Big\rangle \delta_r^s \frac{\langle 13 \rangle}{\langle 12 \rangle} \Big\langle \tilde{B}^- \tilde{B}^- \tilde{B}_+ \tilde{B}_+ \dots \tilde{B}_+ \Big\rangle \\ = 3! \, \delta_r^s \, \delta_{abc}^{efg} \frac{\langle 13 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle^4} \Big\langle B^- B^- B_+ B_+ \dots B_+ \Big\rangle \Big\langle \tilde{B}^- \tilde{B}^- \tilde{B}_+ \tilde{B}_+ \dots \tilde{B}_+ \Big\rangle. \end{split}$$

The third distinct split of the scalar SU(8) indices OK

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND $SH_{OU}^{I}T$

Generating function for $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG MHV amplitudes

$$\mathcal{G}_{MHV,n}^{\mathcal{N}=8}(\eta_{iA}) = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{MHV,n}^{grav}(1^-, 2^-, ...)}{\langle 12 \rangle^8} \mathcal{F}_{MHV,n}^{\mathcal{N}=4,L}(\eta_{ia}) \times \mathcal{F}_{MHV,n}^{\mathcal{N}=4,R}(\eta_{ir})$$

where A = 1, ...8, $a, b, \dots = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $r, s, \dots = 5, 6, 7, 8$ and *n*-graviton MHV amplitude [KLT; Berends, Giele, Kuijf; Elvang, Freedman]

$$\mathcal{M}_{MHV}^{grav} = \sum_{\mathcal{P}(i_4,\ldots,i_n)} \frac{\langle 1\,2\rangle\langle i_3\,i_4\rangle}{\langle 1\,i_3\rangle\langle 2\,i_4\rangle} \, s_{1i_n} \left(\prod_{s=4}^{n-1}\beta_s\right) \mathcal{A}_{MHV}^{gauge}(1^-,2^-,i_3^+,\ldots,i_n^+)^2$$

with $\beta_s = -\frac{\langle i_s i_{s+1} \rangle}{\langle 2 i_{s+1} \rangle} \langle 2 | i_3 + i_4 + \dots + i_{s-1} | i_s]$ Crucial fact $\mathcal{M}_{MHV,n}^{grav}(1^-, 2^-, \dots) / \langle 1 2 \rangle^8$ totally symmetric

Properties of MHV generating function

Neat connection between $\mathcal{N}=8$ and $\mathcal{N}=4$ amplitudes

Bookkeeping for spin and R-symmetry factors. Manifest SU(8) symmetry

Practical tool for calculating MHV and next-to-MHV amplitudes. Associate with each state a differential operator:

 $h^+
ightarrow 1$, $\psi^{+A}
ightarrow D^A_\eta$, ... $h^-
ightarrow D^8_\eta$

Tantalizing analogy with zero-mode counting in instanton calculus and with light-cone superfield [Brink, Ramond, ..., Kovacs, ...]

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{N}=4} = 1/\partial_{+}A^{-} + 1/\partial_{+}\lambda_{A}^{-}\hat{\theta}^{A} + \phi_{AB}\hat{\theta}^{A}\hat{\theta}^{B} + \lambda^{+A}(\hat{\theta}^{3})_{A} + A^{+}\hat{\theta}^{4}$ To calculate amplitudes, apply differential operators to \mathcal{G}_{n} Number of MHV amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity = partitions of 16 with $n_{max} = 8$. That's 186

Susy Ward identities have unique solutions for MHV amplitudes, G_n satisfies WI's hence G_n is the correct, unique generating function

SUSY Ward identities for MHV amplitudes

Two kinds of operators [Grisaru, Pendleton, Van Nieuwenhuizen] $[Q^a, \alpha] = [p, \epsilon]\beta, [Q^a, \beta] = 0, [\tilde{Q}_a, \beta] = \langle \epsilon p \rangle \alpha, \text{ and } [\tilde{Q}_a, \alpha] = 0$ Two-component spinors, two independent constraints on the amplitudes per each set $|\epsilon\rangle$, $[\epsilon|$. In the generating function approach, introduce SUSY generators: $Q^A = \sum_i [i|D_{n^i}]$ and $\tilde{Q}_A = \sum_i |i\rangle \eta^i_A$ so that $\{Q^A, \tilde{Q}_A\} = \delta^A_B P$ (with $P = \sum_{i} |i\rangle |i|$) Obviously $Q^{A}\mathcal{G} = 0$ (P = 0) and $\tilde{Q}_{A}\mathcal{G} = 0$ ($\mathcal{G} \approx \delta(\tilde{Q}_{A})$) Concrete WI's $\tilde{\epsilon}^A \tilde{Q}_A \mathcal{D}^{(17)} \mathcal{G} = 0$ and $\epsilon_A Q^A \mathcal{D}^{(15)} \mathcal{G} = 0$ As in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, susy generator produces correct algebra on differential operators and hence correct SUSY Ward identities

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND SH

Applications beyond tree level: Intermediate state sum

1-loop MHV amplitude in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM from tree level For 2-particle cuts, dropping dynamical prefactors, compute $D_1^{(4)}D_2^{(4)}\delta^{(8)}(I_a)\delta^{(8)}(J_a)$, where $D_l^{(4)} = \prod_{a=1}^4 \partial/\partial\eta_{la}$, l = 1, 2, and $I_a = |-I_1\rangle\eta_{1a} + |I_2\rangle\eta_{2a} + |i\rangle\eta_{ia} + \sum_m |m\rangle\eta_{ma}$ $J_a = |I_1\rangle\eta_{1a} + |-I_2\rangle\eta_{2a} + |j\rangle\eta_{ia} + \sum_n |n\rangle\eta_{na}$ $D_1^{(4)}D_2^{(4)}$ acts on η_{1a} and η_{2a} in both I_a and J_a arguments of δ -functions in generating functions for 'left' and 'right' amplitudes. Each intermediate state comes from a particular split of the action of $D_1^{(4)}D_2^{(4)}$ on I_a and J_a . Opposite helicities the two ends. Use Schouten's identity $\langle il_1 \rangle \langle kl_2 \rangle - \langle jl_1 \rangle \langle il_2 \rangle = \langle ij \rangle \langle l_1 l_2 \rangle$ to simplify to $D_1^{(4)} D_2^{(4)} (D_i \delta^{(8)}(I_a) D_i \delta^{(8)}(J_a)) = \langle ij \rangle^4 \langle I_1 I_2 \rangle^4$ 3-particle cuts can be handled similarly

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND SH

Beyond MHV: Next-to-MHV (NMHV) amplitudes

Genuine NMHV start at 6-pts *e.g.* $\langle A^-A^-A^-A^+A^+A^+\rangle$, while $\langle A^-A^-A^-A^+A^+\rangle$ is anti-MHV. Counting NMHV amplitudes

In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM number of NMHV amplitudes is number of partitions of 12 with $n_{max} = 4$. That's 34.

In $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG number of NMHV amplitudes is number of partitions of 24 with $n_{max} = 8$. That's 919 !!

1/4 BPS ?

In ${\cal N}=4$ SYM, NMHV amplitudes can be calculated using the 'MHV vertex method' $_{[Cachazo,\ Svrcek,\ Witten;\ Georgiu,\ Glover,\ Khoze;\ ...]}$

NMHV generating functions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Generating function for individual 'diagrams' *e.g.* for external lines i + 1 and j adjacent to internal line I on the left and j + 1 and i adjacent on the right

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{NMHV,n}^{(i+1,j|j+1,i)} &= \big(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle i\,(i+1)\rangle\big)^{-1} \delta^{(8)} \big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |i\rangle \eta_{ia}\big) \prod_{a=1}^{4} \sum_{k \in I} \langle P_{I}\,k\rangle \eta_{ka} \frac{1}{D_{I}} \\ \frac{1}{D_{I}} &= \frac{1}{P_{I}^{2}} \frac{\langle j(j+1)\rangle \langle i(i+1)\rangle}{\langle j\,P_{I}\rangle \langle (j+1)P_{I}\rangle \langle iP_{I}\rangle \langle (i+1)P_{I}\rangle} \,. \end{aligned}$$

 $1/D_I$ correct off-shell continuation of Parke-Taylor vertex factors. SUSY WI's OK,

Derive $\mathcal{F}_{NMHV,n}^{(i+1,j|j+1,i)}$ via 3-line shift recursion relations: split into sum of 'MHV vertex diagrams' requires that $\mathcal{A}_{NMHV}(z) \rightarrow 0$ for

 $Z
ightarrow \infty$ [Risager]

Not rigorously proven for full $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory ... but no counter examples.

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND SH

NMHV generating functions in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG

In $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG, similar set-up BUT we have found counter examples to $\mathcal{M}_{n,NMHV}^{\mathcal{N}=8}(z) \to 0$ for $z \to \infty$. Typically, for amplitudes involving scalars, $\mathcal{M}_{n,NMHV}(z) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$ for $z \to \infty$ Possible way out: summing all diagrams $\mathcal{M}_{n,NMHV}(z) \rightarrow 0$, needed for recursion relations to work in NMHV sector, and beyond. Low-energy theorems: 70 scalars of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SG non-linear sigma model on E(7,7)/SU(8). Exact Goldstone bosons. Low-energy theorems à la Adler and Weinberg. Trivial one soft-pion limit in MHV sector ($q_{\phi} = 4$) and NMHV sector (?). Interesting two soft pions limits.

Recent developments in the planar limit

MHV amplitudes and light-like Wilson loops

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_{MHV,n}^{full,SYM}(p_i,h_i)}{\mathcal{A}_{MHV,n}^{tree,SYM}(p_i,h_i)} = W(\lambda;x_i)|_{x_i-x_{i+1}=p_i}$$

independent of helicities / species, tested up to 6-pts at 2-loops [Brandhuber, Heslop, Travaglini; Drummond, Henn, Korchemsky, Sokactchev; BDKRSVV; ...]

Exponentiation of 4-pt amplitudes, BDS conjecture [Bern, Dixon, Smironov]

$$\mathcal{A}_{4pt}^{\textit{finite}}(s,t) = C \exp\left\{rac{1}{8}\gamma_{\textit{cusp}}(\lambda)\log^2(s/t)
ight\}$$

strong coupling AdS/CFT test $_{\mbox{[Alday, Maldacena]}}$, integrability prediction for cusp anomalous dimension $_{\mbox{[Beisert, Eden, Staudacher]}}$

• Dual conformal invariance of scalar boxes $x \leftrightarrow p$

Massimo BIANCHI TWIST AND $SH_{OU}^{I}T$

Outlooks

Part I:

Twists and shifts can conveniently combine with other mechanisms, *e.g.* open and closed string fluxes, non-anomalous U(1)'s, instanton effects, ... of moduli stabilization. Explicit computations are feasible.

Model with only 2 twisted moduli.

Part II:

Generating functions techniques are instrumental to (dis)prove $(\mathcal{N} = 8) \leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N} = 4)_L \otimes (\mathcal{N} = 4)_R$ at tree level in (N)MHV sector and beyond

Need a better understanding of spinor shifts, ...