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## Standard Model Building Approaches

- Heterotic $E_{8} \times E_{8}$ string
see talks by Gray, Lukas, Manno, Ratz, Trapletti, Zanzi
- Gepner models see talk by Tsulaia
- Free fermionic constructions see talks by Kounnas, Schellekens
- Type II with D-branes at singularities see talk by Verlinde (?)

F-theory GUTs with branes at singularities

- Magnetised D-branes $\stackrel{\text { T-dual }}{\Leftrightarrow}$ Intersecting D-branes
see talks by Antoniadis, Bianchi, Haack, Hebecker, Plauschinn, Quevedo, Schmidt-Sommerfeld —Bailin, Cvetic, Gmeiner, Timirgaziu, Weigand
... and lots of people whose name is not on this transparency
Geometry of orbifolds well understood $\Leftrightarrow C Y_{3}$ spaces?
CFT methods provide powerful computational tools


## Intersecting D6-Branes

Orientifold of IIA string theory with anti-holomorphic involution $\mathcal{R}$ on the Calabi-Yau 3 -fold


- Invariant 3-cycles $\Pi_{O 6}$ are wrapped by 06 -planes
- D6 $a_{a}$ branes wrap 3-cycles $\Pi_{a}$
- $\mathcal{R}$ images $\mathrm{D}_{a}{ }^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{D} 6_{a}$ branes wrap $\Pi_{a}^{\prime}$

Topological constraints:
$\Rightarrow$ RR tadpole cancellation: $\sum_{a} N_{a}\left(\Pi_{a}+\Pi_{a}^{\prime}\right)=4 \Pi_{O 6}$
$\Rightarrow$ K-theory: $\sum_{a} N_{a} \Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{S p(2)}=0 \bmod 2$

## Massless Spectrum

Massless spectrum consists of

- Closed strings: $\mathcal{N}=1$ SUGRA, axion-dilaton mult., $h_{1,1}^{-}$ complexified Kähler \& $h_{2,1}$ complex structure moduli mults., $h_{1,1}^{+}$vector mults. ( $h_{1,1}^{ \pm}$: (anti) invariant cycles under R)
- Open strings: $\prod_{a} U\left(N_{a}\right)$ gauge groups, sometimes also $S O(2 N)$ or $S p(2 N) \quad \&$ charged matter
The chiral spectrum is computed from intersection numbers $\Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{b}$ of 3-cycles

| representation | net chirality |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathbf{A n t i}_{a}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{a}^{\prime}+\Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{O 6}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{S y m}_{a}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{a}^{\prime}-\Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{O 6}\right)$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{N}_{a}, \overline{\mathbf{N}}_{b}\right)$ | $\Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{b}$ |
| $\left(\mathbf{N}_{a}, \mathbf{N}_{b}\right)$ | $\Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{b}^{\prime}$ |

## Fractional Cycles

Fractional cycles on $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 N}$ stuck at $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ fixed points on $T^{4}$ $\Rightarrow$ continuous displacement \& Wilson line on $T^{2}$ encoded in chiral adjoint

+ additional adjoints from orbifold image cycles

$$
\Pi^{\text {frac }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Pi^{\text {torus }}+\Pi^{\text {ex }}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \Pi^{\text {rigid }}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\Pi^{\text {torus }}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \Pi^{\mathrm{ex},(i)}\right)
$$

Rigid cycles possible on $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{2 N} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2 M}$
$\Rightarrow$ only discrete Wilson lines, no adjoint matter
$\Rightarrow$ D-instantons see talks by Bianchi, Cvetic, Schmidt-Sommerfeld, Weigand


## Full spectrum on orbifolds

Florian Gmeiner, G.H. 0708.2285
Rewrite intersection number on $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{M}$ in terms of sectors $\Pi_{a}^{\text {torus }} \circ \Pi_{b}^{\text {torus }}=-\sum_{k} I_{a\left(\theta^{k} b\right)}\left(I_{a b}=\prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(n_{a}^{i} m_{b}^{i}-m_{a}^{i} n_{b}^{i}\right)\right)$, for $M=2 N$ include $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ invariant intersections
$\Pi_{a}^{\mathrm{ex}} \circ \Pi_{b}^{\mathrm{ex}}=-\sum_{k} I_{a\left(\theta^{k} b\right)}^{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ with relative signs ( $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ e.v. + Wilson lines)
Chiral + non-chiral massless matter on $T^{6} /\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2 N} \times \Omega \mathcal{R}\right.$

with some modifications for vanishing angles, e.g. $I_{a\left(\theta^{k} b\right)}^{0} \rightarrow 2$
This can be generalised from fractional to rigid cycles

## SUSY and Anomalies

Supersymmetry \& stability are not topological, but moduli dependent: D6-branes have to wrap special Lagrangian 3-cycles - not classified for generic $C Y_{3}$
On $\left(T^{2}\right)^{3}: \sum_{i=1}^{3} \phi_{i}=0+\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ fixed points hit by torus cycle


Green Schwarz mechanism via Chern-Simons couplings of RR fields, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times \Pi_{a}} C_{5} \operatorname{tr} F_{a}(\Rightarrow U(1)$ masses $)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times \Pi_{a}} C_{3} \operatorname{tr}\left(F_{a} \wedge F_{a}\right)$

$C_{3}=b_{k}^{(0)} \omega_{k}+$ complex structures form complex scalars $\Rightarrow$ SUSY: \# massive U(1)s = \# frozen complex structures

## The $\mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$ orbifold

## Set-up: Bailin, Love '06,

RR.tcc.Solutions \& Statistics: F. Gmeiner, G.H. 0708.2285 + work in progress

- Orbifold action $\theta: z^{i} \rightarrow e^{2 \pi i v_{i}} z^{i}$ with $\vec{v}=1 / 6 \cdot(1,2,-3)$
- Anti-holomorphic involution $\mathcal{R}$ admits two kinds of shapes of tori


- Kähler moduli $\left(h_{1,1}\right): 3$ untwisted (volume of each $T^{2}$ ), 12 at $\theta$-fixed points, 12 on $\theta^{2}$-fixed tori, 8 on $\theta^{3}$-fixed tori
- Complex structures ( $h_{2,1}$ ): 1 untwisted (shape of $T_{3}$ ), 6 from $\theta^{2}$ fixed points on $T_{1} \times T_{2}$ times 1-cycle on $T_{3}, 4$ at $\theta^{3}$-fixed points on $T_{1} \times T_{3}$ times 1-cycle on $T_{2}$


## $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$ - 3-cycles

\# 3-cycles $\equiv b_{3}=2+2 h_{2,1}=24$
$\Rightarrow 4$ untwisted 3 -cycles $\rho_{i}$ plus $4+43$-cycles from $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ sectors $\delta_{j}, \tilde{\delta}_{j}$ form 12 dimensional sublattice

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{1}=\sum_{k=0}^{5} \theta^{k}\left(\pi_{135}\right), \rho_{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{5} \theta^{k}\left(\pi_{235}\right), \rho_{3}=\sum_{k=0}^{5} \theta^{k}\left(\pi_{136}\right), \rho_{4}=\sum_{k=0}^{5} \theta^{k}\left(\pi_{236}\right) \\
& \quad \Rightarrow \Pi^{\text {torus }}=\sum_{k=0}^{5} \theta^{k}\left[\otimes_{i=1}^{3}\left(n_{i} \pi_{2 i-1}+m_{i} \pi_{2 i}\right)\right]= \\
& P \rho_{1}+Q \rho_{2}+U \rho_{3}+V \rho_{4} \text { with } P=X n_{3}, Q=Y n_{3}, U=X m_{3}, Y=Y m_{3} \\
& \text { and } X=n_{1} n_{2}-m_{1} m_{2}, Y=n_{1} m_{2}+m_{1} n_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{2} \theta^{k}\left(e_{4 j} \otimes \pi_{3}\right), \quad \tilde{\delta}_{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{2} \theta^{k}\left(e_{4 j} \otimes \pi_{4}\right), \quad j=1 \ldots 4
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \Pi^{\mathrm{ex}}=\sum_{j=1}^{4}\left(d_{j} \delta_{j}+e_{i} \tilde{\delta}_{j}\right) \quad \text { with e.g. } d_{j}=-n_{2}-m_{2}, e_{j}=n_{2}
$$

## $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$ - $\mathbf{R R}$ tadpoles

- Evoid double counting of models by imposing $n_{1}, n_{3}, m_{3}+b n_{3} \geq 0$ and $\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)=($ odd, odd $)$
- Fractional cycles have separate RR tadpole \& SUSY conditions for torus + exceptional cycles:
bulk RR tadpole cancellation depends on orientation for ABa:

$$
\sum_{a} N_{a}\left(P_{a}+Q_{a}\right)=8, \quad \sum_{a} N_{a}\left(U_{a}-V_{a}\right)=24
$$

Each SUSY brane contributes positively (or zero) to each sum $\Rightarrow$ naive maximal rank 32
$R R$ tadpoles from $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ : no O6-plane contribution
$\sum_{a} N_{a}\left(d_{i}^{a}-e_{i}^{a}\right)=0$ for the ABa orientation

## $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$ : K-theory

- K-theory constraint: $\Omega \mathcal{R}$-invariant branes are classified, but not clear which give $S O(2)$ or $S p(2)$ - take a (maybe too strong) constraint with all as probes, however: net-intersection with model always even, for example on ABa: $\Pi_{\text {probe }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}\right) \pm \frac{3}{2}\left(\delta_{1}-\tilde{\delta}_{1}\right) \pm \frac{3}{2}\left(\delta_{3}-\tilde{\delta}_{3}\right)$ leads to the constraint

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{3}{2} \sum_{a} N_{a}\left(U_{a}+V_{a} \pm\left(d_{1}^{a}+e_{1}^{a}\right) \pm\left(d_{2}^{a}+e_{2}^{a}\right)\right) \\
\stackrel{\text { RR-tad. }}{=} 3 \sum_{a} N_{a}\left(V_{a}+d_{1}^{a}+d_{2}^{a}\right)+36 \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \bmod 2
\end{array}
$$

and subsequent combinatorics of $\left\{V_{a}, d_{i}^{a}\right\}$ odd or even depending on ( $n_{i}, m_{i}$ ) odd/even show that no new constraint arises - independent of bulk SUSY

## $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}:$ SUSY

- SUSY for branes on ABa: toroidal per brane $R_{1}, R_{2}$ : radii on $T_{3}$

$$
\frac{R_{1}}{\sqrt{3} R_{2}}(P-Q)-(U+V)=0 \quad(P+Q)-\frac{R_{2}}{\sqrt{3} R_{1}}(V-U)>0
$$

SUSY of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ sector: only exceptional cycles through which the toroidal cycle passes occur. There are three signs: $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ eigenvalue \& two Wilson lines on $T_{1} \times T_{3}$


$$
\Pi^{\mathrm{ex}}=(-1)^{\tau_{0}} \times
$$

$$
\times\left(e_{11}+(-1)^{\tau_{1}} e_{61}+(-1)^{\tau_{3}} e_{12}+(-1)^{\tau_{1}+\tau_{3}} e_{62}\right) \otimes\left(n_{2} \pi_{3}+m_{2} \pi_{4}\right)
$$

$$
+ \text { two } \theta \text { - images }
$$

## Results: SUSY \& RR tadpoles

Intersection pattern of 12 dim. sublattice: $I^{\text {bulk }}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 2 A \\ 2 A & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $I^{z_{2}}=\operatorname{diag}(2 \varepsilon, \ldots, 2 \varepsilon)$ with $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ and $\varepsilon=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ rich enough to allow for 3-generation models Large number of SUSY solutions $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{4}\right)$ for the toroidal RR tadpoles depends on geometry: $\mathrm{ABa} \simeq \mathrm{BBa}$ preferred



Taking into account the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ part leads to $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{23}\right)$ SUSY RR tadpole solutions, with $3 \times 10^{22}$ on ABa and $10^{23}$ on BBa

## Results: Probabilities

Scaling behaviour of solutions of toroidal (left) and complete (right) solutions: The set of SUSY solutions is complete! (a) total rank


(b) Probability $\mathcal{N}$ to find a single gauge factor of rank $N$



For toroidal/fractional part $\hat{N}(N) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{T+1-N} \frac{T^{4}}{N^{2}}\left(n_{e}\right)^{k}=$ $=\frac{T^{4}}{N^{2}} f_{N, T}$ with $f_{N, T}=(T+1-N)$ or $\left(n_{e}\right)^{T+1-N}$ with the effective O6-plane charge $T$ in RR tadpoles fits with Douglas, Liaylporool, ${ }^{2} 9$ March 2008-p.14/27

## Standard Models I

Ansatz: $U(3)_{a} \times U(2)_{b} \times U(1)_{c} \times U(1)_{d}$ with three different choices of hyper charge (two with $u_{R}$ in $\mathbf{A n t i} \mathbf{i}_{a}$ ), or $U(3)_{a} \times S p(2)_{b} \times U(1)_{c} \times U(1)_{d}$

On $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$ : only one type with $n$ SUSY generations and RR tadpoles canceled, for $n=3$ only on ABa and BBa

| $U(3)_{a} \times U(2)_{b} \times U(1)_{c} \times U(1)_{d}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| particle | $n$ |
| $Q_{L}$ | $\chi^{a b}+\chi^{a b^{\prime}}$ |
| $u_{R}$ | $\chi^{a^{\prime} c}+\chi^{a^{\prime} d}$ |
| $d_{R}$ | $\chi^{a^{c^{\prime}}}+\chi^{a^{\prime} d^{\prime}}+\chi^{\mathbf{A n t i}_{a}}$ |
| $L$ | $\chi^{b c}+\chi^{b d}+\chi^{b^{b^{c} c}}+\chi^{b^{\prime} d}$ |
| $e_{R}$ | $\chi^{c d^{\prime}}+\chi^{\mathbf{S y m}_{c}}+\chi^{\mathbf{S y m}_{d}}$ |
| $Q_{Y}=\frac{1}{6} Q_{a}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{c}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{d} d_{\text {Liverp }}$ |  |

## Standard Models II

$S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1)_{Y}: 3$ generation models without chiral exotics possible: $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{15}\right)$ models with massless hyper charge ( $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{16}\right)$ with massive $\left.U(1)_{Y}\right)$



Mean number of chiral exotics is computed from
$v$ : visible sector - $h$ : hidden

$$
\zeta \equiv \sum_{v, h}\left|\chi^{v h}-\chi^{v^{\prime} h}\right|
$$

$\Rightarrow$ there can still be an excess of Higgs candidates

## Complex structures for SM

Complex structure values $\varrho$ on ABa for $n$ generations:

| $n$ | $\varrho$ | \#models | $n$ | $\varrho$ | \#models | $n$ | $\varrho$ | \#models |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1 / 2$ | $8.7 \cdot 10^{18}$ | 2 | $1 / 5$ | $2.5 \cdot 10^{11}$ | 3 | $1 / 2$ | $9.7 \cdot 10^{9}$ |
|  | $5 / 2$ | $3.4 \cdot 10^{13}$ |  |  |  |  | $1 / 4$ | $9.6 \cdot 10^{6}$ |
|  | $7 / 4$ | $2.7 \cdot 10^{6}$ |  |  |  |  | $1 / 6$ | $1.2 \cdot 10^{14}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $3 / 2$ | $4.9 \cdot 10^{14}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $9 / 4$ | $4.9 \cdot 10^{7}$ |

On BBa: frequencies by $\mathcal{O}(10)$ larger with $\varrho \rightarrow 3 /(4 \varrho)$

Bailin \& Love's possible solution with $\left(\chi^{a b}, \chi^{a b^{\prime}}\right)=(\underline{(2,1)}): 1 / 4$ on ABa (3 on $\mathbf{B B a}$ ) - the one with the smallest fequency

## SUSY SM Example

Example with SM sector with complex structure $\varrho=1 / 2$ and $S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1)_{Y\left(\times U(1)_{\text {massless }}^{2} \times U(1)_{\text {massive }}^{2}\right)\left(\chi^{a b}, \chi^{a b^{\prime}}\right)=(0,3): ~}^{\text {. }}$

- Chiral spectrum contains abundance of Higgs candidates

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 \times & {\left[(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{1 / 6}+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{1 / 3}+(\overline{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{-2 / 3}+5 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{-1 / 2}\right.} \\
& \left.+4 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{1 / 2}+(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{1}+(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Adjoints: $2 \times(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})_{0}+10 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_{0}+36 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0}$
- Non-chiral matter:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{1 / 6}+6 \times(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-1 / 3}+3 \times(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{2 / 3}+4 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{-1 / 2}\right.} \\
& +8 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{0}+4 \times\left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}_{2}\right)_{0}+6 \times\left(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}_{2}\right)_{0}+4 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0} \\
& \left.+6 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{1 / 2}+4 \times(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{1}+\text { c.c. }\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Standard Models III

Suppression factors w.r.t. the total $\#$ of solutions on $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$ :

- 0.4 from $U(1)_{Y}$ massless
- $7.3 \times 10^{-4}$ for $U(3) \times U(2) / S p(2) \times U(1)$ and $n$ generations
- $2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ for $n=3$ generations
$\Rightarrow$ very similar to $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \sim 10^{-9}$
Gmeiner, Blumenhagen, Honecker, Lüst, Weigand '05
$\Rightarrow$ different from $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6} \sim 10^{-22}{ }_{\text {Gmeiner, Lüst, Stein }}{ }^{\prime} 07$


## Standard Models IV

Gauge couplings

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha_{a, \text { string-tree }}}=\frac{4 \pi}{g_{a, \text { string-tree }}^{2}} \sim \frac{M_{\mathrm{Planck}}}{M_{\text {string }}} \cdot \operatorname{Vol}\left(D 6_{a}\right)
$$

$\Rightarrow$ ratios independent of scales: $\alpha_{c} / \alpha_{\ldots}=\operatorname{Vol}\left(D 6_{b}\right) / \operatorname{Vol}\left(D 6_{a}\right)$


1, 2, 3 generations

1-loop running $b_{a} /\left(16 \pi^{2}\right) \ln \left(M_{\text {string }}^{2} / \mu^{2}\right)$ with $\varphi^{\mathbf{A d j}_{a}} \geq 2$ and
$b_{S U\left(N_{a}\right)}=N_{a}\left(\varphi^{\mathrm{Adj}_{a}}-3\right)+\sum_{b \neq a} \frac{N_{b}}{2}\left(\varphi^{a b}+\varphi^{a b^{\prime}}\right)+\frac{N_{a}-2}{2} \varphi^{\mathrm{Anti}_{a}}+\frac{N_{a}+2}{2} \varphi^{\mathrm{Sym}_{a}}$
$\Rightarrow$ confinement $(b<0)$ very unlikely

## Standard Model V

Massless $U(1)$ s are linear combinations of $U(1)_{i} \subset U\left(N_{i}\right)$

$$
U(1)_{X}=\sum_{i} x_{i} U(1)_{i} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_{X}}=\sum_{i} 2 N_{i} x_{i}^{2} \frac{1}{\alpha_{i}}
$$

with 1-loop beta function coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{U(1)_{a}} & =N_{a}\left(\sum_{b \neq a} N_{b}\left(\varphi^{a b}+\varphi^{a b^{\prime}}\right)+2\left(N_{a}+1\right) \varphi^{\mathbf{S y m}_{a}}+2\left(N_{a}-1\right) \varphi^{\mathbf{A n t i}_{a}}\right) \geq 0 \\
b_{X} & =\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2} b_{i}+2 \sum_{i<j} N_{i} N_{j} x_{i} x_{j}\left(-\varphi^{i j}+\varphi^{i j^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Weak mixing angle $\sin ^{2} \theta_{w}=\alpha_{Y} /\left(\alpha_{Y}+\alpha_{w}\right)$


## Standard Model VI

If one assumes an underlying Pati-Salam or $S U(5)$ GUT structure, there is a relation

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha_{Y}}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\alpha_{s}}+\frac{1}{\alpha_{w}} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_{s}}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{w}}=\frac{3}{5} \frac{1}{\alpha_{Y}}
$$

represented by a line on the previous plot
$T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$ : no hint for such a relation
$T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}: 88 \%$ of models fit to Pati-Salam relation
$T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ example: all bulk cycle have same length $\Rightarrow \alpha_{s}=\alpha_{w}$, if fifth stack of branes is included in $U(1)_{Y}$, the $S U(5)$ relation holds

## $S U(5)$ and Pati-Salam

A systematic search gives:
$S U(5)$ : only $n=2,4$ generations \& 1 or 2 chiral symmetrics:

$S U(4) \times S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R}: \mathcal{O}\left(10^{12}\right) \quad 3$ generation models but $>10$ chiral exotics, however, search incomplete!



## Trinification

Ansatz: $U(3)_{a} \times U(3)_{b} \times U(3)_{c}$ with $n$ generations of

$$
\left(\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{a}, \mathbf{3}_{b}, \mathbf{1}\right)+\left(\mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{b}, \mathbf{3}_{c}\right)+\left(\mathbf{3}_{a}, \mathbf{1}, \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{c}\right)
$$

$\Rightarrow$ no SUSY + RR tadpole solution without chiral exotics in $\left(\mathbf{S y m}_{a}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\right),\left(\operatorname{Anti}_{a}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{3}_{a}, \mathbf{3}_{b}, \mathbf{1}\right) \ldots$
$\Rightarrow$ only $n=2$ generations appear

## Comparison with $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ <br> Formulae G.H., Ott '04; Statistics Gmeiner, Lüst, Stein '07

$T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ acts by $\vec{v}=1 / 6 \cdot(1,1,-2)$ with 6 inequivalent orientations of $S U(3)^{3}$ lattices under $\Omega \mathcal{R}$

- 2 untwisted 3-cycles, 10 twisted 3-cycles at $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ fixed points form 12 dim . unimodular basis. No 3-cycles from $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ subsector!
- SUSY selects one untwisted cycle, O6-plane untwisted $\Rightarrow \Pi_{a} \circ \Pi_{O 6}=0$ leads to $\#$ Anti $=\#$ Sym $\Rightarrow$ constraints on model building: no $S U(5)$ GUTs possible, all quarks and leptons are bifundamentals
- Bulk RR tadpole cancellation gives naive maximal rank 8 for 5 geometries, 12 for 1 geometry
- $U(3) \times U(2) \times U(1)^{2}$ admits at most a 'hidden' $U(1)$ (or $S p(2)$ or $S O(2)$ )
- 2 generation models have chiral exotics, 1 with/without exotics
- 3 generations with additional $U(1)$ (or $S p(2)$ or $S O(2)$ ) occurs $5.7 \times 10^{6}$ times, three $\left(H_{u}, H_{d}\right)$ generations with non-standard Yukawa couplings, only for one geometry there is only one kind of SM like chiral spectrum!

|  | sector | $S U(3)_{a} \times S U(2)_{b}$ | $Q_{a}$ | $Q_{b}$ | $Q_{c}$ | $Q_{d}$ | $Q_{e}$ | $Q_{B-L}$ | $Q_{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $Q_{L}$ | $a b^{\prime}$ | $3 \times(\overline{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{2})$ | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| $U_{R}$ | $a c$ | $3 \times(\mathbf{3}, 1)$ | 1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $D_{R}$ | $a c^{\prime}$ | $3 \times(\mathbf{3}, 1)$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| $L$ | $b d^{\prime}$ | $3 \times(1, \mathbf{2})$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $E_{R}$ | $c d$ | $3 \times(1,1)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $N_{R}$ | $c d^{\prime}$ | $3 \times(1,1)$ | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $H_{d}$ | $b e$ | $3 \times(1, \mathbf{2})$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $H_{u}$ | $b e^{\prime}$ | $3 \times(1, \mathbf{2})$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |

- Total \# SUSY models estimated $3.4 \times 10^{28}$ - by $\sim 10^{5}$ larger than $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}-\Rightarrow \mathrm{SM}$ probability with $1.7 \times 10^{-22}$ much smaller than for $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}, T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ - but distribution of bulk $\mathcal{E}$ fractional solutions similar to $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$


## Conclusions

- Geometric intuition for intersecting D6-branes
- Orbifold models: complete spectra computable
- $T^{6} / \mathbb{Z}_{6}^{\prime}$ particularly fertile for SM spectra: $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{15}\right)$
- 3 generations suppressed by $\sim 10^{-8}$
- SM without chiral exotics exist, PS not fully explored


## Open questions

- SM examples without excess of Higgs candidates?
- Results beyond massless spectra: How are interactions for fractional brane computed in CFT?
- Realistic values of gauge couplings at low energy??
- SUSY breaking, cosmological constant ...?
- Other orbifolds even more fertile?

