

## Dark Matter Search Status and Composite Dark Matter

JiJi Fan Harvard University

Lattice meets experiments: beyond Standard Model Oct 26-27, 2012

## Outline

- Overview of dark matter searches
- Cosmological history of DM: How to explain the dark matter density?
- Composite DM scenarios

# Overview

Measurement from CMB + supernovae

+LSS indicates 23% of our universe is

composed of DM;

Three ways to detect DM:



# Direct detectionIndirect detectionCollider productionImage: State St

#### **Direct detection:**

## XENON 100 rules out DM-nucleon cross section of order 10<sup>-45</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> for DM mass ~ 100 GeV !

1207.5988



#### **Direct detection implications:**



Elastic DM scattering off nucleons through Z-exchange leads to a cross section 10<sup>-40</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>!

#### **Direct detection implications:**



Elastic DM scattering off nucleons through Z-exchange leads to a cross section 10<sup>-40</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>!

Unless the elastic scattering is shut off, e.g., due to a mass splitting between  $\chi$  and  $\chi'$ ; Inelastic DM scenarios **Direct detection implications:** 

DM scatters off nuclei through Higgs exchange when DM gets part of its mass from the Higgs

For instance, a scalar S with a quartic coupling  $\lambda |S|^2 |H|^2$ 

$$\sigma \sim \lambda^2 10^{-44} \text{cm}^2 \left(\frac{100 \,\text{GeV}}{m_{DM}}\right)^2$$

The range current direct detections probe

An important quantity for understanding the limits of direction detection is the nucleon form factor which lattice calculations already contribute a lot! Eg. <N|qq|N> J. Giedt; A. Thomas and R. Young; Toussaint and Freeman '09

#### indirect signal:

It was often claimed that: "smoking-gun" signal of annihilating DM would be a monochromatic gammaray line (lines) in a region of high DM density, e.g., our Galactic center!







Presently DM is non-relativistic: DM + DM  $\rightarrow \Upsilon\Upsilon$ 

$$E_{\gamma} = M_{DM}$$

Recently, an observation of such a line at around 128 GeV is reported: Bringman, Huang, Ibarra, Vogl and Weniger; Weniger;

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{DM+DM \to 2\gamma} \sim 10^{-27} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{/s}$$

Subsequent studies suggest a second line with energy of about 111 GeV: Rajaraman, Tait and Whiteson; Su, Finkbeiner; Consider DM + DM  $\rightarrow$  YY, YZ; for DM + DM  $\rightarrow$  YZ

$$E_{\gamma} = M_{DM} - \frac{m_Z^2}{4m_{DM}} = 111 \text{GeV}$$

It is also reported that both lines show up in unassociated photon sources in the Fermi-LAT catalogue: Su, Finkbeiner Recently, an observation of such a line at around 128 GeV is reported:

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{DM+DM \to 2\gamma} \sim 10^{-27} \mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s}$$

Not easy to explain this line:

a. Continuum constraint; (almost rule out MSSM neutralino as an explaination) charged matter loop (W, ... )



b. Strong couplings and mass coincidences to boost the cross section of the loop process:
large coupling between DM + charged matter running in the loop (e.g, ~10); charged matter running in the loop has to be light with mass ~ 100 GeV.

Something to watch out: Fermi symposium October 28 - November 2

Cosmological history of DM: How to explain  $\ \Omega h^2 pprox 0.11$ 

Thermal freezeout: DM in thermal equilibrium with the SM until Hubble expansion is faster than the interactions



$$\Omega h^2 \approx 0.1 \frac{3 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle}$$

Caution: the annihilation cross section in the early Universe is not necessarily the same as today's.

$$\sigma v \rangle = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{\kappa^4}{m_{DM}^2}$$

Only the ratio is fixed to get a right thermal relic

$$m_{DM} \leftarrow (100 \, GeV - 1 \, TeV) \longrightarrow (10 - 100 \, TeV)$$

$$\kappa \leftarrow (0.1 - 1) \longrightarrow (\sqrt{4\pi} - 4\pi)$$

$$WIMP ?$$

#### Thermal history is not the only choice. For example,

Asymmetric DM: Nussinov '85; Barr, Chivukula and Farhi, '90; B. Kaplan '92; E. Kaplan, Luty and Zurek '09...

DM, like ordinary baryons, also has an inherent asymmetry; both asymmetries related by e.g., highdimensional operators that violate both baryon and DM numbers;

**Rough features of original proposals:** 

 $n_{DM} \sim n_{baryon}, m_{DM} \sim m_{baryon} \rightarrow \rho_{DM} \approx 5 \rho_{baryon}$ 

#### Non-thermal history e.g: axion DM; more later

#### Late-decaying scalar field populates SM radiation, that annihilate into DM Chung, Kolb, Riotto `98



# **Composite DM Theories**



Constraints on self-interaction from dark matter halos and bullet cluster are weak:

$$\frac{\sigma}{m_{DM}} \le 0.1 \,\mathrm{cm}^2/g \approx 500 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-3}$$

In the SUSY context, such composites could naturally reside in dynamical SUSY breaking sector: Dimopoulos, Giudice and Pomarol '98; Fan, Thaler, Wang (indirect signals) '10.

#### b. Light thermal DM: pNGB of the flavor group

## A confining sector: $\Lambda$ $m_{DM} \ll \Lambda$ $f \sim \frac{\Lambda}{4\pi}$ $m_{DM}^2 f^2 = m_q \Lambda^3 \to m_{DM} \sim 4\pi \sqrt{m_q \Lambda}$ $\sigma \sim \frac{m_{DM}^2}{4\pi f^4} \sim \frac{(4\pi)^5 m_q}{\Lambda^3} \quad \begin{array}{l} \mbox{By choosing small explicit flavor} \\ \mbox{symmetry breaking parameter } m_{\rm q} << \Lambda, \end{array}$

one can get the right relic;

Buckley, Neil '12; In the SUSY context: Ibe, Nakayama, Murayama, Yanagida '09...

#### Asymmetric heavy composite DM scenarios: technibaryon, quirk...

Nussinov '85; Barr, Chivukula and Farhi, '90; Kribs, Roy, Terning and Zurek '09; Del Bobile, Gudnason, Kouvaris, Ryttov and Sannino ;

Non-perturbative effect such as electroweak sphalerons intermix baryon, lepton and the composite DM numbers. The heavy mass of the composite DM also lead to a Boltzmann suppression of their relic  $exp[-m_{DM}/T]$ , where T is the temperature where sphalerons shut off.

#### Non-thermal composite DM scenarios: Fan, Reece '12;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{DM} & & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
\text{DM} & & & \\
& & & \\
\end{array} & & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
& & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\end{array} & \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{M} & & \\
\end{array} &$$

The gamma rays are no longer monochromatic but rather box-shaped!

In the limit  $m_{\pi}$  close to DM mass ~ 260 GeV, the box mimics a line! For example:

 $m_{DM} - m_{\pi} \approx 50 \,\mathrm{MeV}, \delta E_{\gamma} \approx 5 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ 

The mass degeneracy could arise from symmetry

Current Fermi resolution is ~ 10 GeV Simple possibility exists: scale up QCD

$$\pi^h_+\pi^h_- \to \pi^h_0\pi^h_0,$$

Doesn't determine relic as it doesn't change the pion numbers



 $\sigma v(\pi^h_+\pi^h_- o \pi^h_0 a)$  is too small to generate a right thermal relic;

Have to reply on non-thermal history to get the right relic!

Direct detection signal:

a. If composite DM scatters off nucleons through Z/h exchange, the constraints before apply;

b. composite DM itself is SM neutral; yet if its constituents are charged, it could interact through EM moments such as charge radius and polarizablility. Pospelov, ter Veldhuis '00

In most models above, two important physical quantities:
a. Annihilation cross section for determining the relic;
b. Composite DM moments;
They need to be calculated either by χPT or lattice.



#### **Axions: solution to the strong CP problem**



Stellar cooling



Relic Abundance: Allowing late-time decaying particle to dilute the relic Kawasaki, Moroi, Yanagida '95;

#### Axion detections:



Lower end of f<sub>a</sub> could be probed by ADMX: resonant cavity axion search; looking for axions converting into photons in a magnetic field;

Higher end of f<sub>a</sub> could be probed by rapidly time-varying neutron EDM Graham and Rajedran '11; The time-dependence (MHz) could be detected by atom-interferometry ..

### Conclusion

There have been interesting progresses in DM detections that might give us clues of DM properties;

For composite DM scenarios, the annihilation cross sections and its couplings to SM (through higher order moments) are important quantities for its cosmological history and direct detections.