

# A Supersymmetric Lattice Theory: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ YM

Simon Catterall, Poul Damgaard, Tom Degrand, Dhagash  
Mehta, Richard Galvez  
also  
Joel Giedt, Anosh Joseph, ..

October 26, 2012

Lattice SUSY - the problems and how to dodge them

$\mathcal{N} = 4$  Super Yang-Mills: new formulation

Non-perturbative study: phase diagram

# Barriers to Lattice Supersymmetry

- ▶  $\{Q, \bar{Q}\} = \gamma_\mu p_\mu$ . No generators of infinitesimal translations on lattice. Equivalently: no Leibniz rule for **difference ops** on lattice:  $\Delta(AB) \neq \Delta AB + A\Delta B$ .
- ▶ Classical SUSY breaking leads to (many) SUSY violating ops via quantum corrections. Couplings must be adjusted with cut-off ( $1/a$ ) to achieve SUSY in continuum limit -**fine tuning**.
- ▶ Discretization of Dirac equation: Lattice theories contain additional fermions (doubblers) **which do not decouple in continuum limit**. Consequence: no. fermions  $\neq$  no. bosons
- ▶ Lattice gauge fields live on lattice links and take values in **group**. Fermions live on lattice sites and (for adjoint fields) live in algebra ....

# Putting SUSY on a lattice

Goals of any successful SUSY lattice formulation:

- ▶ Reduce/eliminate **fine tuning**. In particular scalar masses.
- ▶ More symmetrical treatment of bosons and fermions - particularly for gauge theories.
- ▶ Keep exact gauge invariance. Lesson of lattice QCD (Wilson)
- ▶ Avoid fermion doubling...
- ▶ Avoid sign problems. After integration over fermions is effective bosonic action real ? **Monte Carlo simulation** requires this ...

New formulations exist with all these features

# New ideas - twisting

- ▶ Rewrite continuum theory in **twisted** variables.
- ▶ Exposes a single scalar supersymmetry  $Q$  whose algebra is simple:  $Q^2 = 0$ . Furthermore  $S \sim Q\Lambda$ .
- ▶ **Key**: this SUSY **can** be retained on discretization: easy to build invariant lattice action.
- ▶ Fine tuning reduced (eliminated ?):

<b>Exact hypercubic symmetry</b>	$\xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0}$	<b>Full Poincare invariance</b>
<b>Exact <math>Q</math> symmetry</b>	$\rightarrow$	<b>Full SUSY</b>

- ▶ See that all fields will live on links and take values in algebra.
- ▶ Structure of fermionic action dictated by exact SUSY - would doublers will be **physical**

# Most interesting application: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Many lattice SUSY theories in  $D < 4$ .

However in  $D = 4$  they single out a unique theory:  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  YM

- ▶ Fascinating QFT - finite but non-trivial. A lattice formulation gives a **non-perturbative** definition of theory (like lattice QCD for QCD)
- ▶ Heart of AdS/CFT correspondence. Equivalence between string theory in  $AdS_5$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM on boundary. Lattice formulation allows us to verify and extend holographic ideas: compute classical and quantum string corrections ... (expansion in  $1/N$  and  $1/\lambda$ )
- ▶ Possible connection to low energy physics: Higgs as a dilaton arising from scalar fluctuations along flat directions (Hubisz's talk) ?

# Twisted (Lattice) Fields for $\mathcal{N} = 4$

Usual fields	Twisted fields
$A_\mu, \mu = 1 \dots 4$	$\mathcal{U}_a, a = 1 \dots 5$
$\phi_i, i = 1 \dots 6$	$\eta, \psi_a, \chi_{ab}, a, b = 1 \dots 5$
$\Psi^i, i = 1 \dots 4$	

- ▶ Scalars appear as  $\text{Im } \mathcal{U}_a$  ! (miracle of twisting...)
- ▶ Fermions appear as anticommuting antisymmetric tensors!
- ▶ All Lattice fields live on links.
- ▶ Lattice is determined: 5 (complex) gauge fields  $\rightarrow$  lattice with (equal) 5 basis vectors. 4D implies  $\sum_{a=1}^5 \mathbf{e}^a = 0$ .  $A_4^*$
- ▶ All fields take values in  $U(N)$  algebra.
- ▶ Fields transform like links:  $\psi_a \rightarrow G(x)\psi_a(x)G^\dagger(x+a)$

# Lattice action

Twisting=change of variables in flat space

$$S_1 = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{F}_{ab}^\dagger \mathcal{F}_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \overline{\mathcal{D}}_a^{(-)} \mathcal{U}_a \right)^2 \right. \\ \left. - \chi_{ab} \mathcal{D}_{[a}^{(+)} \psi_{b]} - \eta \overline{\mathcal{D}}_a^{(-)} \psi_a \right)$$

$$S_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \text{Tr} \epsilon_{abcde} \chi_{de}(\mathbf{x} + \mu_{\mathbf{a}} + \mu_{\mathbf{b}} + \mu_{\mathbf{c}}) \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{c}}^{(-)} \chi(\mathbf{x} + \mu_{\mathbf{c}})$$

- ▶ Bosonic action collapses to **Wilson plaquette** if  $\mathcal{U}_a^\dagger \mathcal{U}_a = 1$ .
- ▶ Fermions: Kähler-Dirac action  $\equiv$  (reduced) staggered fermions Describes 4 (Majorana) fermions in continuum limit.



# Gauge invariance, doublers and all that

- ▶ All terms local, correspond to closed loops and hence are lattice gauge invariant
- ▶  $U_a$ 's **non compact!**  $U_a = \sum_B T^B U_a^B$  - **flat** measure  $\int \prod DU_a D\bar{U}_a$ . Nevertheless, still gauge invariant - Jacobians resulting from gauge transformation of  $U$  and  $\bar{U}$  cancel.
- ▶ **Bigger question:** how to generate correct naive continuum limit requires that can expand (suitable gauge)  
 $U_a = I + \mathcal{A}_a(x) + \dots\dots\dots?$

## Naive continuum limit

- ▶ Need  $\mathcal{U}_a = I + \mathcal{A}_a(x) + \dots$ . Here, unlike lattice QCD, **unit matrix necessary for generating kinetic terms arises from the vev of a dynamical field!** - trace piece of imaginary part (scalar) of the gauge field
- ▶ Ensure by adding gauge invariant potential

$$\delta S = \mu^2 \sum_{x,a} \left( \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} (\mathcal{U}_a^\dagger \mathcal{U}_a) - 1 \right)^2$$

To leading order: If  $\mathcal{U}_a = e^{A_a + iB_a}$  then  $\text{Tr} B_a = 0$ .

- ▶ Breaks  $\mathcal{Q}$  SUSY softly. All breaking terms must vanish for  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  (exact  $\mathcal{Q}$ ).

## Quantum corrections ...


Can show:

- ▶ Lattice theory renormalizable: only counterterms allowed by exact symmetries correspond to terms in original action
- ▶ Effective potential vanishes **to all orders** in p. theory. No scalar mass terms!
- ▶ At one loop:
  - ▶ No fine tuning: common wavefunction renormalization
  - ▶ Vanishing beta function: Divergence structure matches continuum ....
- ▶ Need to go beyond p. theory. Phase diagram of lattice theory (next), Ward identities for broken SUSYs (see Anosh Joseph's talk)

# Simulations


- ▶ Integrate fermions  $\rightarrow \text{Pf}(M)$ . Realize as  $\det(M^\dagger M)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$
- ▶ Standard lattice QCD algs may be used: RHMC with Omelyan, multi time step evolution. GPU acceleration for inverter (speedup: 5-10 over single core code for  $L = 8^3 \times 16$ )
- ▶ Phase quenched approximation should be ok: analytical argument, numerical evidence ...
- ▶ First step: **phase structure** ....  $U(2), L^4, apbc, L = 4, 6, 8$ 
  - ▶ Fix the unit matrix  $\text{vev}$  ? Instabilities from flat directions ?
  - ▶ Supersymmetry realized ?
  - ▶ String tension, chiral symmetry breaking ?
  - ▶ Phase transitions ?

# Setting the vev



Classical vev stable  $\langle \mathcal{U}_a \mathcal{U}_a \rangle = 1$  for  $\mu > \mu_*$  with  $\mu_*$  decreasing for increasing  $L$


# $SU(2)$ Flat directions - I

Distribution of scalars insensitive to  $\mu$

# Comments

- ▶ Common statement: “Moduli space is not lifted in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  by quantum corrections ...”  
Why is scalar distribution not flat as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ ?
- ▶ Pfaffian vanishes on flat directions. Formally this zero cancels against infinity from boson zero modes **but** latter are lifted at non-zero  $\mu$ .
- ▶ Thus configurations corresponding to flat directions make **no** contribution to lattice path integral.
- ▶ Small fluctuations around flat directions cost increasing action as move away from origin in field space - large scalar eigenvalues suppressed.

# Test of exact supersymmetry




For  $\mu \rightarrow 0$   $S_B$  given by simple  $\mathcal{Q}$  Ward identity.



# Sign problem ?

- ▶ Integrate fermions: **complex** Pfaffian. But observed phase small in phase quenched simulations..




Why ? For  $\mu = 0$  and pbc one can show that  $Z_{\text{lattice}}^{1-loop} = 1$  indep of  $\lambda$ ! No phase appears!

Exact  $\mathcal{Q}$  symmetry -(formally) true to all orders in p theory!

## Phase structure - Polyakov lines


$$P = \prod \mathcal{U}_t$$

U(2) apbc L=8




$$P = \prod \exp(\mathcal{U}_t - \text{Tr } \mathcal{U}_t)$$

U(2) apbc L=8


Traceless modes yield  $\lambda$ -indep Polyakov lineConsistent with SUSY:  $Q\bar{U} = 0$

# Phase structure - String tension




Extract by fitting  $W(R, T)$  to  $e^{-V(R)T}$  and extract  $\sigma$   
 Vanishing for all  $\lambda$

## Coulomb fits



Fits are good and consistent with p theory .. no sign of Maldacena  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  behavior

# Chiral symmetry breaking - or lack of it ..



Eigenvalues excluded from origin: insensitive to  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$

# Conclusions

- ▶ Simulations of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  YM look promising: gauge invariance and (some) SUSY can be preserved. No instabilities from flat directions, no sign problem.
- ▶ Prelim investigations show no sign of any phase transitions as vary  $\lambda$ . String tension small and static quark potential best fit with simple Coulomb term. Evidence for **single, deconfined phase**.
- ▶ Consistent with pert theory: 1 loop calc shows  $\beta_{\text{latt}}(\lambda) = 0$
- ▶ In addition to tests of AdS/CFT theory may serve as test bed for lattice theories with IRFPs


# The end

*To SuperSymmetry*



*& BEYOND*

# $SU(2)$ Flat directions - II



Localized distribution for all  $\lambda$



## frame

- ▶ Establish phase structure definitively ... using large lattices (better quark potentials), push to stronger  $\lambda$ , smaller  $\mu$
- ▶ Examine the spectrum: evidence of SUSY, anomalous dims. Compare to known results in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$
- ▶ Check restoration of full SUSY: study broken SUSY Ward identities, determine how much fine tuning needed.
- ▶ Need to understand how to take continuum limit; in QCD send  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$  and ever increasing  $L$ . In CFT  $g$  is parameter - does not determine lattice spacing. Continuum physics by increasing  $L$ . But how to tune  $\mu$  ?

Exciting time - lots to do !!