

1.

$$UU^\dagger = 1 \Rightarrow U = e^{iH} ; \quad U^\dagger = e^{-iH^\dagger} = e^{-iH}$$

Hence we must have  $H = H^\dagger$ .  $H$  must be hermitian.

**2a.** 3 diagonal generators.

$$\lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_8 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_{15} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

2b.  $D = 15$ . Three diagonal generators of part (2a) plus:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_9 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_{10} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{11} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda_{13} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda_{14} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

2c.

$$4 = (3, 1/3) + (1, -1)$$

under the maximal subgroup  $SU(3) \times U(1)$

2d.

see separate figure

2e.

$$4 \times \bar{4} = \{(3, 1/3) + (1, -1)\} \times \{(\bar{3}, -1/3) + (1, +1)\} = \\ 15 + 1 = \{(3, +4/3) + (8, 0) + (1, 0) + (\bar{3}, -4/3)\} + (1, 0)$$

2f.

$$4 \times 4 = \{(3, 1/3) + (1, -1)\} \times \{(3, 1/3) + (1, -1)\} = \\ 6 + 10 = \{(6, 2/3) + (3, -2/3) + (1, -2)\} + \{(\bar{3}, 2/3) + (3, -2/3)\}$$

**3.**

To construct a consistent three dimensional theory, we must ensure that the dynamics do not depend on the  $z$ -direction. The motion of the particle must be confined in the  $(x, y)$  plane. Since the Lorents force is perpendicular to the magnetic field, it follows that the components of the magnetic fields in the  $(x, y)$  plane has to vanish. Similarly, the component of the electric field in the  $z$ -direction is zero. Hence, we take

$$E_z = B_x = B_y = 0.$$

The remaining components  $E_x$ ,  $E_y$  and  $B_z$  can only depend on  $x$  and  $y$ . Similarly, the velocity and current components in the  $z$ -directions are zero, *i.e.*  $v_z = j_z = 0$ . Maxwell's equations then become

$$\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial y} = \rho \quad \text{from} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} \quad \text{from} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$$

$$\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial y} = \frac{j_x}{c} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial t} \\ -\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial x} = \frac{j_y}{c} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial t}$$

$$\text{from} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \frac{\vec{j}}{c} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

The remaining Maxwell equation  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$  is trivial because  $B_x = B_y = 0$  and  $B_z = B_z(x, y)$ . The Lorentz force law gives nontrivial equations only for the  $x$  and  $y$  components:

$$\frac{dp_x}{dt} = q \left( E_x + \frac{v_y}{c} B_z \right), \\ \frac{dp_y}{dt} = q \left( E_y - \frac{v_x}{c} B_z \right).$$

**3b.** In three dimensions we have  $A^\mu = (\Phi, A^1, A^2)$ ,  $A_\mu = (\Phi, -A_1, -A_2)$ , and  $j^\mu = (c\rho, j^1, j^2)$ . Moreover,  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ , so

$$F_{0i} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^i} \equiv -E_i$$

$$F_{12} = \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \equiv B_z$$

Thus, the field strength tensor takes the form

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y \\ E_x & 0 & B_z \\ E_y & -B_z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad F^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x & E_y \\ -E_x & 0 & B_z \\ -E_y & -B_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The above  $D = 3$  field strength  $F$  can be viewed as the  $D = 4$  one with  $E_z = B_x = B_y = 0$ . The  $D = 3$  current  $j$  can be viewed as the  $D = 4$  current with  $j_z = 0$ . The three dimensional Maxwell equations are therefore the truncation to  $E_z = B_x = B_y = 0$  and  $j_z = 0$  of the original four dimensional ones