

1. (a) The electromagnetic field strength tensor is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu,$$

and the electromagnetic Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{e.m.}} &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)(\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\nu\beta}(\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha)(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for this Lagrangian are obtained from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial A_\mu} = 0.$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial A_\mu} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\nu A_\mu)} \right) = 0.$$

Hence calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\delta A_\epsilon)} &= -\frac{1}{4}\eta^{\alpha\mu}\eta^{\beta\nu} [(\delta_\alpha^\delta\delta_\beta^\epsilon - \delta_\beta^\delta\delta_\alpha^\epsilon)(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) \\ &\quad + (\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha)(\delta_\mu^\delta\delta_\nu^\epsilon - \delta_\nu^\delta\delta_\mu^\epsilon)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} [(\eta^{\delta\mu}\eta^{\epsilon\nu} - \eta^{\epsilon\mu}\eta^{\delta\nu})(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) \\ &\quad + (\partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha)(\eta^{\alpha\delta}\eta^{\beta\epsilon} - \eta^{\alpha\epsilon}\eta^{\beta\delta})] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} 4 [\partial^\delta A^\epsilon - \partial^\epsilon A^\delta] \\ &= -F^{\delta\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\delta} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\delta A_\epsilon)} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\delta} F^{\delta\epsilon} = 0,$$

which are the Maxwell equations in the absence of sources.

- (b)

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \Lambda(x).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
F'_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A'_\nu - \partial_\nu A'_\mu = \partial_\mu (A_\nu + \partial_\nu \Lambda) - \partial_\nu (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda) \\
&= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Lambda - \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \Lambda \\
&= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = F_{\mu\nu}
\end{aligned}$$

as the derivatives of the scalar function Λ commute. With $F_{\mu\nu}$ also $L_{\text{e.m.}}$ is invariant under the transformation.

- (c) First note that the *gauge* transformation in (b) is exactly the $U(1)$ phase transformation which we have used to derive (scalar) electrodynamics; gauge invariance required the introduction of a gauge field which we called a_μ , with transformation $a_\mu(x) \rightarrow a'_\mu(x) = a_\mu(x) + i\partial_\mu \alpha(x)$ (here the scalar function $\Lambda(x)$ is the space-time dependent phase $\alpha(x)$).

The Lagrangian for a massive photon without sources is given by

$$-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + m^2 A_\mu A^\mu.$$

As before the first term is invariant under the transformation considered above. However, the second term transforms as

$$m^2 A_\mu A^\mu \rightarrow m^2 A'_\mu A'^\mu = m^2 A_\mu A^\mu + m^2 (\partial_\mu \Lambda \partial^\mu \Lambda + \partial_\mu \Lambda A^\mu + A_\mu \partial^\mu \Lambda).$$

The extra terms do not vanish, so the mass term would not be invariant under the transformation, i.e. *break the invariance*. Insisting on the invariance therefore forbids the mass term, i.e. $m^2 = 0$ and the photon has to remain massless.

- (d) With the source-term $-j^\mu A_\mu$ in the Lagrangian we get, in addition to the terms in the source-free case, the term

$$-\frac{\partial L}{\partial A_\mu} = j^\mu.$$

This leads, with the additional minus sign obtained from the first term of the Euler-Lagrange equation, to a term j^μ on the right hand side, and hence to the covariant form of Maxwell's equation including sources as given in the lecture.

Now $\partial_\mu j^\mu = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu} = 0$ directly, as the order of differentiation can be interchanged (*'symmetric \times anti-symmetric = 0'*).

2. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{5\dagger} &= (i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3)^\dagger \\
&= -i\gamma^{3\dagger}\gamma^{2\dagger}\gamma^{1\dagger}\gamma^{0\dagger} \\
&= -i(\gamma^0\gamma^3\gamma^0)(\gamma^0\gamma^2\gamma^0)(\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^0)\gamma^0 \\
&= -i\gamma^0\gamma^3\gamma^2\gamma^1 = i\gamma^0\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^1 = -i\gamma^0\gamma^2\gamma^1\gamma^3 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = \gamma^5.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second part, it is best to work out the anti-commutator for each μ in turn:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^5\gamma^0 &= i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^0 = -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^0\gamma^3 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^0\gamma^2\gamma^3 \\
&= -i\gamma^0\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = -\gamma^0\gamma^5 \Rightarrow \{\gamma^5, \gamma^0\} = 0, \\
\gamma^5\gamma^1 &= i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^1 = -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^1\gamma^3 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 \\
&= -i\gamma^1\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3 = -\gamma^1\gamma^5 \Rightarrow \{\gamma^5, \gamma^1\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the other two calculations will be similar.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2 &= -\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^3 = i\gamma^5\gamma^3, \\
\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^3 &= -\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^2\gamma^3 = \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^2 = -i\gamma^5\gamma^2.
\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\eta_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{1}_4 \Rightarrow \gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu = 2\eta_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{1}_4,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_4$ is the 4-dimensional identity matrix, usually not written explicitly. Taking the trace, and using $\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$, $\text{Tr}\mathbf{1}_4 = 4$, we get

$$\text{Tr}[\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu] = 4\eta_{\mu\nu}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma &= -\gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma + 2\eta_{\mu\nu}\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma \\
&= \gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\mu\gamma_\sigma - 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\gamma_\nu\gamma_\sigma + 2\eta_{\mu\nu}\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma \\
&= -\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\mu + 2\eta_{\mu\sigma}\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho - 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\gamma_\nu\gamma_\sigma + 2\eta_{\mu\nu}\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma \\
\Rightarrow \gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma + \gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\mu &= 2\eta_{\mu\sigma}\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho - 2\eta_{\mu\rho}\gamma_\nu\gamma_\sigma + 2\eta_{\mu\nu}\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the trace and using

$$\text{Tr}[\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_\mu] = \text{Tr}[\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma],$$

together with $\text{Tr}[\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu] = 4\eta_{\mu\nu}$, we find

$$\text{Tr}[\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma] = 4[\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma}\eta_{\nu\rho}].$$