ORIGIN OF INFLATION

LANCASTER UNIVERSITY

ANUPAM MAZUMDAR



We mostly Concentrate on CMB 99% of INFLATION papers are HALF complete!!

CONFUSIONS/CONCLUSIONS



GENERATE LARGE TENSOR-TO-SCALAR RATIO

+



CMB PERTURBATIONS



EINSTEIN'S GRAVITY + EQUATION OF STATE,

NO MODIFICATION OF GR IS REQUIRED AT LOW ENERGIES

INFLATION DILUTES ALL MATTER !!



YOU MUST EXPLAIN THE RELEVANT DOF. & NOT JUST THE EQUATION OF STATE

99% of INFLATION papers are happy with an equation of state argument for reheating, whether they are SM or some other radiation -- who cares? (its part of assumption !!)

THE INFLATON VACUUM CANNOT BE <u>ARBITRARY</u>: IT MUST KNOW OUR / EXISTENCE!



NO HIDDEN RADIATION - ONLY STANDARD MODEL DOF

A.M & ROCHER, PHYS. REPT. (2011), PARTICLE PHYSICS MODELS OF INFLATION & CURVATON

STANDARD MODEL HIGGS THE LAST 50-60 E-FOLDINGS OF INFLATION MUST HAPPEN IN A VISIBLE SECTOR



THE ACTION ? VALIDITY OF EFT ? $\mathcal{S}_{J} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{M^{2} + \xi h^{2}}{2} R + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} h \partial^{\mu} h - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(h^{2} - v^{2}\right)^{2} \right\}$

 $\xi \simeq 5 \times 10^4 \sqrt{\lambda}$

EMBEDDING HIGGS INFLATION IN SUGRA DOES NOT HELP

INTRODUCES MORE UNKNOWN PARAMETERS

MSSM HIGGSES WITH D-FLAT DIRECTION CAN OVERCOME THESE ISSUES



Starobinsky $R + R^2$ It is utterly INCOMPLETE !

$$S_{q} = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} [RF_{1}(\Box)R + RF_{2}(\Box)\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu}F_{3}(\Box)R^{\mu\nu} + R^{\nu}_{\mu}F_{4}(\Box)\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\lambda}R^{\mu\lambda} + R^{\lambda\sigma}F_{5}(\Box)\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\sigma}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\lambda}R^{\mu\nu} + RF_{6}(\Box)\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\lambda}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R_{\mu\lambda}F_{7}(\Box)\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R^{\rho}_{\lambda}F_{8}(\Box)\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\sigma}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\rho}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R^{\mu_{1}\nu_{1}}F_{9}(\Box)\nabla_{\mu_{1}}\nabla_{\nu_{1}}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\lambda}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}F_{10}(\Box)R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R^{\rho}_{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{11}(\Box)\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R_{\mu\rho_{1}\nu\sigma_{1}}F_{12}(\Box)\nabla^{\rho_{1}}\nabla^{\sigma_{1}}\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} + R^{\nu_{1}\rho_{1}\sigma_{1}}F_{13}(\Box)\nabla_{\rho_{1}}\nabla_{\sigma_{1}}\nabla_{\nu_{1}}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R^{\mu_{1}\nu_{1}\rho_{1}\sigma_{1}}F_{14}(\Box)\nabla_{\rho_{1}}\nabla_{\sigma_{1}}\nabla_{\nu_{1}}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$$

GRAVITY INVOKES Y HIGHER ORDER CORRECTIONS

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + R\mathcal{F}_1(\Box)R + R_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_2(\Box)R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_3(\Box)R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{F}_i(\Box) = \sum_n^\infty a_n \Box^n \qquad \Delta \mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left(\alpha R^2 + \beta R_{\mu\nu}^2 + \gamma R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^2 \right)$$

$$2\mathcal{F}_1(\Box) + \mathcal{F}_2(\Box) + 2\mathcal{F}_3(\Box) = 0$$

Biswas, Gerwick, Koivisto & AM, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2012)

Classical Gravity becomes WEAK in the UV (Asymptotic Freedom)





WHEN DOES THE NOTION OF TEMPERATURE MAKES SENSE AFTER INFLATION ?



STANDARD REHEAT TEMPERATURE SINCE 1980s

$$T(t) = \left[\frac{30}{\pi^2}\rho_R(t)/g_*(t)
ight]^{1/4} \quad T_{rh} = \left(\frac{90}{8\pi^3 g_*}
ight)^{1/4} \sqrt{\Gamma_{\phi} M_P}$$

$$T_{\rm max} \simeq \left[\frac{1.57}{\pi^3 g_*}\right]^{1/4} \sqrt{M_P} \ (\Gamma_{\phi} H_I)^{1/4}$$

THIS ASSUMES THERMALIZATION IS ACHIEVED INSTANTLY AT THE TIME OF RADIATION DOMINATION



HOW GOOD THE ASSUMPTION OF INSTANT THERMALIZATION IS?

QUANTIFYING REHEAT TEMPERATURE

STANDARD ESTIMATION OF REHEAT TEMPERATURE IS WRONG



$$T_{rh}(x_{th} \gg x_{rd}) pprox rac{0.7 \ lpha_s^3 \ lpha_{\phi}^{3/2} M_P^{5/2}}{g_*^{1/4} m_{\phi}^{3/2}}$$

AM+ZALDIVAR, 1310.5143



Last 50-60 e-folds MUST happen within a

WHY MSSM? PREDICTIVE POWER



SUSY BREAKING SCALE IS THE MAIN UNCERTAINTY

SUSY Flat directions

 H_u

Shift symmetry

as a Gauge Invariant operator minimises the Potential

 LH_{u}

H

SUSY is broken

Shift symmetry is broken

 $\rightarrow LH_u$

Enqvist, Mazumdar, Phys. Rept. (2004)

GAUGE INVARIANT INFLATONS

		Always lifted
	B-L	by W_{renorm} ?
LH _u	-1	
H _u H _d	0	
udd	-1	
LLe	-1	
QuL	-1	
$\mathrm{QuH}_{\mathrm{u}}$	0	\checkmark
QdH_d	0	\checkmark
LH _d e	0	
QQQL	0	
m QuQd	0	
QuLe	0	
uude	0	
$QQQH_d$	1	\checkmark
QuH _d e	1	
dddLL	-3	
uuuee	1	
QuQue	1	
QQQQu	1	
dddLH _d	-2	
uudQdH _u	— 1	
$(QQQ)_4LLH_u$		
$(QQQ)_4LH_uH_d$	0	
$(QQQ)_4H_uH_dH_d$	1	\checkmark
$(QQQ)_4LLLe$	— 1	
uudQdQd	-1	
$(QQQ)_4LLH_de$	0	\checkmark
$(QQQ)_4LH_dH_de$	1	\checkmark
$(QQQ)_4H_dH_dH_de$	2	\checkmark

SU($(3) \times SU(2)_l \times U(1)_Y$
$u_1 d_2 d_3$	$d_{2}^{\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\phi$ $u_{1}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\phi$ $d_{3}^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\phi$
$L_1 L_2 e_3$	$L_1^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \phi \end{pmatrix} L_2^b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \phi$
$H_u H_d$	$H_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad H_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}$
SU(3) :	$\times SU(2)_l \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B-L}$
NH_uL	$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\phi H_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\begin{pmatrix}0\\\phi\end{pmatrix} L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\begin{pmatrix}\phi\\0\end{pmatrix}$

Allahverdi, Enqvist, Bellido, AM, (PRL, 2006), (JCAP, 2007), Allahverdi, Kusenko, AM, JCAP (2007), Allahverdi, Dutta, AM (PRL 2007), Chatterjee, AM, JCAP (2011)







LHC & PLANCK JOINT CONSTRAINTS ON INFLATONS



BOEHM, DASILVA, AM & PUKARTAS, PRD (2012),

WANG, PUKARTAS & AM, JCAP (2013)

CAN MSSM INFLATION PRODUCE LARGE TENSOR TO SCALAR RATIO?



N=1 SUGRA & MSSM INFLATION



CHOUDHURY, AM, PAL, JCAP (2013)

Correlation between CMB + Dark mater



Higgs Mass +Dark matter constraint + CMB for udd Inflaton

Boehm, DaSilva, AM & Pukartas, PRD (2012), Wang, Pukartas & AM JCAP (2013) (hep-ph/1303.535)

ONE MORE BSM ... NMSSM

NMSSM: CAN INVOKE SUCCESSFUL ELECTROWEAK BARYOGENESIS VIA 1ST ORDER PHASE TRANSITION



LAST 50-60 E-FOLDS MUST HAPPEN WITHIN A VISIBLE SECTOR

	\mathcal{P}_{ζ}	$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} \propto k^{n_s - 1}$	$r = rac{\mathcal{P}_T}{\mathcal{P}_\zeta}$	HIDDEN RADIATION	UV COMPLETION	DARK MATTER Abundance
PURE GRAVITY +SM					Required	No prediction
STRING Theory				No prediction	Required	No prediction
HIGGS INFLATION				NO	Required but Quantum Gravity	Extra Physics
VISIBLE SECTOR, I.E.MSSM				NO	Required but within Matter sector	

STRINGY INFLATION IS STILL HELPFUL BUT NOT DURING LAST 50-60 E-FOLDS...

 $R + R^2$ Gravity is utterly incomplete : requires higher derivative terms

CONFUSION-3

LARGE TENSOR TO SCALAR RATIO CAN BE OBTAINED BY SUB-PLANCKIAN VEV INFLATION

$$\frac{3}{25} \sqrt{\frac{r(k_{\star})}{0.12}} \left| \left\{ \frac{3}{400} \left(\frac{r(k_{\star})}{0.12} \right) - \frac{\eta_V(k_{\star})}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \left(8\mathcal{C}_E + \frac{14}{3} \right) \epsilon_V^2(k_{\star}) - \frac{\eta_V^2(k_{\star})}{6} - \frac{\xi_V^2(k_{\star})}{8} + \frac{5\eta_V(k_{\star})\epsilon_V(k_{\star})}{12} - \frac{\sigma_V^3(k_{\star})}{24} + \cdots \right\} \right| \approx \frac{|\Delta\phi|}{M_p}$$

INFLECTION POINT INFLATION

BEN-DAYAN, R. BRUSTEIN, JCAP (2009),

HOTCHKISS, AM, SESHADRI, JCAP (2012)

CHOUDHURY, AM, PAL, JCAP (2013), CHOUDHURY, AM, 1306.4496

An accurate bound on tensor-to-scalar ratio and the scale of inflation

Sayantan Choudhury ¹ and Anupam Mazumdar² ¹Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road, Kolkata 700 108, INDIA and ²Consortium for Fundamental Physics, Physics Department, Lancaster University, LA1 4YB, UK

In this paper we provide an accurate bound on tensor-to-scalar ratio (r) for class of models where inflation always occurs below the Planck scale, and the field displacement during inflation remains sub-Planckian.



(a) Shape of the potential. Note the existence of a flat plateau below the Planck scale.







Extra Slides

FIG. 2: The ratio $(40m_{\phi}^2/A^2)$ as a function of $\text{Log}[\frac{\mu}{\text{GeV}}]$ in the case of *udd* flat direction. The curves are for M_{GUT} boundary values $m_{\phi} = 150, 200, 250, 300 \text{ GeV}$ (respectively from left to right), and A = 1.6 TeV.

IS THERE A FINE - TUNING ?

$m_{\phi}(\phi_0), A(\phi_0)$

RG - EQUATIONS

$m_{\phi}(100 \text{ GeV}), A(100 \text{ GeV})$

FIG. 3: The ratio $(40m_{\phi}^2/A^2)$ as a function of $\text{Log}[\frac{\mu}{\text{GeV}}]$ in the case of *udd* flat direction. The curves are for M_{GUT} boundary values $A_{udd}=1.6$, 1.8, 2.0, 2.2 TeV (respectively from top to bottom), and $m_{\phi} = 400$ GeV.

PREDICTIONS FROM 10⁵⁰⁰ VACUA EXCESS DARK MATTER

EXCESS GRAVITINOS

EXCESS DARK RADIATION

NO SOLUTION TO SINGULARITY PROBLEM

(1) SETTING UP THE INITIAL CONDITION FOR A VISIBLE SECTOR INFLATION $V = V_{Landscape} + V_{MSSM}$

FIG. 5: Same as in Fig. (4) for $H_{\text{false}} = 10^3 m_{\phi}$.

(2) COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT

How about Cosmological Singularity Problem? String theory is immature to tackle this problem: ONE REQUIRES CLOSE STRING FIELD THEORY

$$S_q = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [RF_1(\Box)R + RF_2(\Box)\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu}F_3(\Box)R^{\mu\nu} + R^\nu_\mu F_4(\Box)\nabla_\nu \nabla_\lambda R^{\mu\lambda}]$$

+
$$R^{\lambda\sigma}F_5(\Box)\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\sigma}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\lambda}R^{\mu\nu} + RF_6(\Box)\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\lambda}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R_{\mu\lambda}F_7(\Box)\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$$

$$+ R^{\rho}_{\lambda}F_{8}(\Box)\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\sigma}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\rho}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R^{\mu_{1}\nu_{1}}F_{9}(\Box)\nabla_{\mu_{1}}\nabla_{\nu_{1}}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\lambda}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$$

- + $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}F_{10}(\Box)R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R^{\rho}_{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{11}(\Box)\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R_{\mu\rho_{1}\nu\sigma_{1}}F_{12}(\Box)\nabla^{\rho_{1}}\nabla^{\sigma_{1}}\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}$
- $+ R^{\nu_1\rho_1\sigma_1}_{\mu}F_{13}(\Box)\nabla_{\rho_1}\nabla_{\sigma_1}\nabla_{\nu_1}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} + R^{\mu_1\nu_1\rho_1\sigma_1}F_{14}(\Box)\nabla_{\rho_1}\nabla_{\sigma_1}\nabla_{\nu_1}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\rho}\nabla_{\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$

GRAVITY INVOKES OHIGHER ORDER CORRECTIONS

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + R\mathcal{F}_1(\Box)R + R_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}_2(\Box)R^{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathcal{F}_3(\Box)R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{F}_i(\Box) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Box^n \qquad \Delta \mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left(\alpha R^2 + \beta R_{\mu\nu}^2 + \gamma R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}^2 \right)$$

$$2\mathcal{F}_1(\Box) + \mathcal{F}_2(\Box) + 2\mathcal{F}_3(\Box) = 0$$

n

Biswas, Gerwick, Koivisto & AM, Phys. Rev. Lett.

Classical Gravity becomes WEAK in the UV

SUSY SCALE COULD BE HIGHER THAN TEV !!

UNDERSTANDING FINE TUNING IS IMPORTANT

IT CAN ONLY BE ADDRESSED WITHIN A CONTEXT

 10^{-11} for neutrino mass and 10^{-44} for C.C NATURE IS FINE TUNED

FOUR REASONS WHY INFLATION MUST

END IN A VISIBLE SECTOR

What about Dark Matter ?

Concrete predictions can be made ONLY for a WIMP scenario --> Particle Physics Embedding (BSM Physics)

$$\Omega h^2 \approx \frac{3 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{s}}{\langle \sigma_{ann} v \rangle}$$

Latest Status on light Neutralino Dark Matter

Boehm, Dev, AM, Pukartas

PERHAPS WE CAN NEVER MAKE IT PREDICTIVE

ONE HAS TO KNOW ALL THE HIDDEN SECTORS, THEN THEY ARE NO LONGER HIDDEN ANY MORE!!

Top-down & Bottom-up approach Cicoli, AM, JCAP(2010), PRD (2010)

EVEN IF THE ORIGIN OF INFLATON COMES FROM SUSY S(10)

Particle physics models of inflation and curvaton scenarios

Anupam Mazumdar^{a,b,*}, Jonathan Rocher^c

- NONE OF THEM CAN BE MADE TECHNICALLY LIGHT TO BE AN INFLATON

MSSM dof Via Instant Preheating

 $\frac{\rho_{rel}}{\rho_{\phi}} \sim 10\% \text{ (per crossing)}$

$$T_{rh} = \left(\frac{30}{\pi^2 g_*}\right)^{1/4} \rho_{\phi}^{1/4}$$

$$\sim 3 \times 10^8 \text{ GeV} (\text{for } m_{\phi} \sim 1 \text{ TeV})$$

Allahverdi, Ferrantelli, Garcia-Bellido & AM PRD (2011)

Scanning NUHM-2 scenario

Correlation between Inflaton, Stau & lightest Stop

Boehm, DaSilva, AM & Pukartas, PRD (2012),

Attraction Towards Inflection Point

Bench-Mark Points for Visible Sector Models of Inflation & Curvaton

Planck Constraints (1σ)	MSSM inflation	MSSM Curvaton	
Tensor-to-scalar ratio	Negligible.	Negligible. 🗸	
r < 0.11 (95% CL) [4]			
$10^9 P_{\zeta} = 2.196^{+0.051}_{-0.060}$ [2]	\checkmark	\checkmark	
$n_s = 0.9603 \pm 0.073$ [2]	\checkmark	\checkmark	
$dn_s/d\ln k = -0.0134 \pm 0.0090$ [4]	$\lesssim -0.002,$ \checkmark	\checkmark	
$f_{ m NL}^{local} = 2.7 \pm 5.8$ [3]	< 1, ✓	Constrained, \checkmark	
$f_{\rm NL}^{equil} = -42 \pm 75$ [3]	< 1, ✓	Constrained, \checkmark	
$f_{ m NL}^{orth} = -25 \pm 39 [3]$	< 1, ✓	Constrained, \checkmark	
Relativistic dof [2]	only SM	only SM	
★ $r < 0.11$	Inflection Point for Saddle Point fo		
With Hubble-Induced SUGRA Corrections	Inflaton	Both Inflaton & Curvaton	

Conclusions

Last 50-60 e-folds of Inflation MUST be embedded within a VISIBLE sector

Discovery of B-modes will not only test the Inflationary paradigm but will also test the structure of Space-Time and perhaps the nature of Quantum Gravity itself

LAST 50-60 E-FOLDS OF INFLATION

CICOLI, AM: SHOW EXPLICITLY HIDDEN SECTORS ARE POPULATED

MORE THAN MSSM/VISIBLE IN STRING COMPACTIFICATION

JCAP(2010), PRD (2011)

AVOID ANY HIDDEN STATE: INFLATE WITH A MINIMAL CONTENT OF MATTER OF A VISIBLE SECTOR

Renormalizable Potential from a Visible Sector

Inflaton decays into MSSM dof + LSP (dark matter candidate)

Allahverdi, Dutta & AM, Phys.Rev.Lett. (2007)

MAZUMDAR & ROCHER, 1001.0993 PHYS. REPT. (2010)

Inflation + Adiabatic Vacuum

Why is Quantum Gravity so kind towards us? What is the CMB telling us about the Nature of Gravity in UV?

Some Issues about Inflation

Quantization of Space Time

Would we ever see B-mode of Polarization ?

Never: If Gravity is treated Classically

Ashoorioon, Dev & AM (1211.4678)

JCAP (2012)

Note: B-modes do not require super-Planckian Inflaton VEVs such as Chaotic Inflation Hotchkiss, AM & Nadathur,

Inflection Point Inflation can do so with VeVs below the cut-off

Ever Changing models of Inflation

980 R*R, OLD, NEW, CHAOTIC, EXTENDED, SOFT, BRANS-DICKE, SUSY, SUGRA, THERMAL, EXPONENTIAL, DOUBLE,

HYBRID, MUTATED HYBRID, INVERTED 1990 HYBRID, F-TERM, D-TERM, K-TERM, TOPOLOGICAL, ASSISTED,

N-FLATION, BRANE, BRANE-CHAOTIC/ 2000 HYBRID, TACHYONIC, DBI, RACE-TRACK, HILL-TOP, FAST-ROLL, P-TERM, F+D-TERM, EXTENDED-HIGGS, CYCLIC, Kahler, Non-Kahler, Sweese Cheese, D3/D7, ... None of these models can actually work !!

More than one sources of Non-Gaussianity

 $+f_{NL}$ & $-f_{NL}$ $|A| \le \sqrt{\tau_{NL}}$ $\tau_{NL} < 2800 (@95\%)$

Wang, AM (2013), 1304.6399

Curvaton & Inflaton from MSSM

EXPLAINING OUR UNIVERSE

MODEL INDEPENDENT ANALYSIS IS NICE TO DESCRIBE CMB, E.G. NON-GAUSSIANITY, ANOMALIES, FEATURES, ETC.

BUT YOU NEED TO EMBED THE INFLATON INTERACTIONS IN A PROPER CONTEXT - DON'T FORGET THAT YOU NEED TO EXCITE THE SM DOF

Inflaton Interactions

EFT APPROACH MUST TAKE THIS INTO ACCOUNT

NON-GAUSSIANITY,

MAGNETIC FIELD, ETC..

E.G. CHIRALITY

BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL

BUT THIS IS WHAT NATURE CARES FOR !!

CONFUSION -2: EFFECTIVE FIELD T HEORY FOR INFLATION MSSM $K = I^{\dagger}I + \phi^{\dagger}\phi + \delta K,$ $\delta K = f(\phi^{\dagger}\phi, I^{\dagger}I), \ f(I^{\dagger}\phi\phi), \ f(I^{\dagger}I^{\dagger}\phi\phi), \ f(I\phi^{\dagger}\phi)$ Heavy $W = W_{MSSM} + W_{Heavy}$ $W(\phi) = \lambda \frac{\Phi^n}{M_{pl}^{n-3}} \qquad W(I) = M_s I^2$ $K = \phi^{\dagger}\phi + I^{\dagger}I + \frac{a}{M_{PI}^2}\phi^{\dagger}\phi I^{\dagger}I$ $\mathcal{L}_{Kin} = K_{ij*}(\partial_{\mu}\Phi^{i})(\partial^{\mu}\Phi^{j*}) = \left(1 + \frac{a|I|^{2}}{M_{Pl}^{2}}\right)(\partial_{\mu}\phi)(\partial^{\mu}\phi^{\dagger}) + \frac{a}{M_{Pl}^{2}}\left\{\phi^{\dagger}I(\partial_{\mu}\phi)(\partial^{\mu}I^{\dagger}) + \phi I^{\dagger}(\partial_{\mu}I)(\partial^{\mu}\phi^{\dagger})\right\}$ $+\left(1+\frac{a|\phi|^2}{M_{-1}^2}\right)(\partial_{\mu}I)(\partial^{\mu}I^{\dagger}).$ $V(\phi) \approx \begin{cases} V_0 + \left(m_{\phi}^2 + 3(1-a)H^2\right) |\phi|^2 - \frac{A\phi^n}{nM_{Pl}^{n-3}} + \lambda^2 \frac{|\phi|^{2(n-1)}}{M_{Pl}^{2(n-3)}} \\ V_0 + \left(m_{\phi}^2 + 3(1+a^2)H^2\right) |\phi|^2 - \left\{A\frac{\phi^n}{nM_{Pl}^{n-3}} + \left[\left((1+a)^2 - \frac{4}{n} - \frac{2a}{n}\right)\frac{\lambda M\phi^n}{M_{Pl}^{n-3}} + h.c.\right]\right\} + \lambda^2 \frac{|\phi|^{2(n-1)}}{M_{Pl}^{2(n-3)}} \end{cases}$ for $|I| \ll M_{Pl}$ for $|I| \sim M_{Pl}$.

MOSTLY PAPERS PLAY AN ARBITRARY GAME HERE - BREAK GLOBAL/LOCAL INVARIANCE, INTRODUCE GHOSTS & SPURIOUS STATES, ETC.